ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NO.: 260264	FOR COURT USE ONLY
NAME: Kelsey M. Szamet		
FIRM NAME: Kingsley & Kingsley, APC		ELECTRONICALLY FILED BY
STREET ADDRESS: 16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 120	0	Superior Court of California,
CITY: Encino	STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 91436	County of Monterey
TELEPHONE NO.: (818) 990-8300	FAX NO.: (818) 990-2903	On 6/1/2023 8:55 AM
E-MAIL ADDRESS: kelsey@kingsleykingsley.com		By: Brenda Cerna, Deputy
ATTORNEY FOR (name):		Dy. Dienda Cema, Deputy
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF STREET ADDRESS: 1200 Aquailto Road MAILING ADDRESS:  CITY AND ZIP CODE: Monterey, CA 93940	<b>PF</b> Monterey	
BRANCH NAME: Monterey Division		CASE NUMBER:
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Ingrid Hansen-Schoolderman		21CV003609
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Southern Monterey County Memorial Hospital		JUDICIAL OFFICER:
OTHER:		Hon. Thomas W. Wills
PROPOSED ORDER (COVER SHEET)		DEPT: 15

**NOTE:** This cover sheet is to be used to electronically file and submit to the court a proposed order. The proposed order sent electronically to the court must be in PDF format and must be attached to this cover sheet. In addition, a version of the proposed order in an editable word-processing format must be sent to the court at the same time as this cover sheet and the attached proposed order in PDF format are filed.

 Name of the party submitting the proposed order: Kelsey M. Szamet, Esq. on behalf of Plaintiff

2. Title of the proposed order:

[Proposed] Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement

- 3. The proceeding to which the proposed order relates is:
  - a. Description of proceeding: PI's Notice of Motion and Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement
  - b. Date and time: June 23, 2023, 8:30 a.m.
  - c. Place: 15
- 4. The proposed order was served on the other parties in the case.

Kelsey M. Szamet

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

Page 1 of 2

CASE NAME:
Ingrid Hansen-Schoolderman v. Sourthern Monterey County Memorial Hospital

CASE NUMBER:
23CV003609

## PROOF OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

THOI GOLD CHOLK			
1. I	I am at least 18 years old and <b>not a party to this action.</b>		
á	a. My residence or business address is <i>(specify):</i> 16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1200, Encino, CA 91436		
t	b. My electronic service address is (specify): michelle@kingslevkingslev.com		
	I electronically served the <i>Proposed Order (Cover Sheet)</i> with a proposed order in PDF format attached, and a proposed order in an editable word-processing format as follows:		
ā	a. On (name of person served) (If the person served is an attorney, the party or parties represented should also be stated.): FENTON & KELLER, APC Elizabeth R. Leitzinger; Gladys Rodriguez-Morales (counsel for defendant) ABRAMSON LABOR GROUP William Zev Abramson (counsel for plaintiff)		
	b. To (electronic service address of person served): Eleitzinger@fentonkeller.com; gmorales@fentonkeller.com; Wza@abramsonlabor.com c. On (date): June 1, 2023		
X	Electronic service of the <i>Proposed Order (Cover Sheet)</i> with the attached proposed order in PDF format and service of the proposed order in an editable word-processing format on additional persons are described in an attachment.		
	clare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.		
Mich	helle Tanzer		
IVIICI	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT) (SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)		

EFS-020 [Rev. February 1, 2017]

PROPOSED ORDER (COVER SHEET)
(Electronic Filing)

Page 2 of 2

1	KINGSLEY & KINGSLEY, APC				
2	ERIC B. KINGSLEY, Esq. (SBN 185123) eric@kingsleykingsley.com				
3	KELSEY M. SZAMÉT, Esq. (SBN 260264) kelsey@kingsleykingsley.com				
4	16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1200				
	Tel: (818) 990-8300, Fax (818) 990-2903				
5	ABRAMSON LABOR GROUP	207)			
6	WILLIAM ZEV ABRAMSON, Esq. (SBN 2893 wza@abramsonlabor.com	587)			
7	3580 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1260 Los Angeles, CA 90010-2513				
8	Tel: (213) 492-6300; Fax: (213) 382-4083				
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class				
10					
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
12	FOR THE COUNTY OF MONTEREY				
13	INGRID HANSEN-SCHOOLDERMAN, an individual, on behalf of herself and others	CASE NO. 21CV003609			
14	similarly situated,	[Case Assigned for All Purposes to Hon.			
15	PLAINTIFF,	Thomas W. Wills in Dept. 15]			
16	v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS			
17	SOUTHERN MONTEREY COUNTY MEMORIAL HOSPITAL; and DOES 1 thru	ACTION SETTLEMENT			
18	50, inclusive,				
19	DEFENDANTS.	Preliminary Approval and Declaration of Kelsey M. Szamet]			
20					
21		Date: June 23, 2023 Time: 8:30 A.M.			
22		Dept.: 15			
23		Complaint Filed: November 12, 2021 Trial Date: None Set			
24		Trial Date: None Set			
25					
26					
27					
28					
_ U	I .				

The Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Class Settlement came before this Court on June 23, 2023, the Honorable Thomas W. Wills, presiding. The Court, having considered the papers submitted in support of the motion of the parties, **HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:** 

- 1. The Court grants preliminary approval of the proposed settlement based upon the terms set forth in the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") filed herewith. The Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class. The Court finds that: (a) the Agreement resulted from extensive arm's length negotiations; and (b) the Agreement is sufficient to warrant notice of the Agreement to persons in the Class and a full hearing regarding final approval of the Agreement.
  - 2. For purposes of this Order, the proposed Class is defined as follows:

    "All persons who are employed or have been employed as a nonexempt employee by SOUTHERN MONTEREY COUNTY
    MEMORIAL HOSPITAL, in the State of California during the
    Covered Period." ("Settlement Class Members" or "Class")
  - 3. The Class Period is from November 12, 2017 to March 7, 2023.
- 4. The Agreement falls within the range of reasonableness and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final fairness hearing and final approval by this Court.
  - 5. The Court makes the following preliminary findings for settlement purposes only:
    - A. The Class, which consists of approximately 660 persons, is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;
    - B. There appear to be questions of law or fact common to the Class for purposes of determining whether this Settlement should be approved;
    - C. Plaintiff's claims appear to be typical of the claims being resolved through the proposed settlement;
    - D. Plaintiff appears to be capable of fairly and adequately protecting the interests of the Settlement Class Members in connection with the proposed settlement;
    - E. Common questions of law and fact appear to predominate over questions affecting only individual persons in the Class. Accordingly, the Class

- appears to be sufficiently cohesive to warrant settlement by representation; and
- F. Certification of the Class appears to be superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient resolution of the claims of the Class.
- 6. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Class Action Settlement to Settlement Class Members in substantially the form attached to the Agreement as Exhibit "A".
- 7. The Court approves the procedure for Settlement Class Members to opt out to the Agreement as set forth in the Agreement and the Notice of Class Action Settlement.
- 8. The Court approves the procedure for Settlement Class Members to object to the Agreement as set forth in the Agreement and the Notice of Class Action Settlement.
- 9. The Court directs the mailing of the Notice of Class Action Settlement and related documents to members of the Class by first class mail in accordance with the Agreement and the implementation schedule set forth below. The Court finds that the dates selected for the mailing and distribution of the notice, as set forth in the following implementation schedule, meet the requirements of due process and provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
  - 10. It is ordered that the Class is preliminarily certified for settlement purposes only.
- 11. The Court confirms Eric B. Kingsley and Kelsey M. Szamet of Kingsley & Kingsley, APC as Class Counsel.
- 12. The Court confirms INGRID HANSEN-SCHOOLDERMAN as Class Representative.
  - 13. The Court approves Xpand Legal as the Administrator.
- 14. The Court orders that pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act, Labor Code §§ 2698, et seq. ("PAGA"), statutory notice of this Agreement has been and will continue to be given to the Labor & Workforce Development Agency.
- 15. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed Agreement, attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, the PAGA payment, and the claims administration costs should be finally approved as fair, adequate, and reasonable as to the members of the Class is scheduled for \_\_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_ (Pacific Time), in Department .

16. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule for further proceedings:

a.	Preliminary Approval Granted	
b.	Deadline for Defendant to Provide Settlement Class Members' Information to Administrator	15 calendar days from Entry of Preliminary Approval
c.	Administrator Shall Mail Notice to Settlement Class Members	14 calendar days from receipt of the Class List from Defendant
d.	Deadline for Postmark of Any Request for Exclusion	60 Days from Mailing of Notices
e.	Deadline for Postmark of Any Objection	60 Days from Mailing of Notices
f.	Deadline for Class Counsel to file Motion for Final Approval of Class Settlement	To be determined by the Court
g.	Deadline for Class Counsel to file Motion for Attorneys' Fees	To be determined by the Court
h.	Final Approval Hearing	

- 17. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the Court does not execute and file an Order of Final Approval and Judgment, or if the Effective Date of Settlement, as defined in the "Agreement, does not occur for any reason, the Agreement and the proposed Settlement that is the subject of this Order shall become null, void, unenforceable and inadmissible in any judicial, administrative or arbitral proceeding for any purpose, and all evidence, court orders and proceedings had in connection therewith, shall be without prejudice to the status quo ante rights of the Parties to the litigation, as more specifically set forth in the ("Agreement.")
- 18. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pending further Order of this Court, all proceedings in this matter except those contemplated herein and in the Agreement are hereby stayed.
  - 19. The Court expressly reserves the right to adjourn or continue the Final Fairness

1	Hearing from time to time without further notice to members of the Class.
2	
3	DATED:
4	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	l ====================================