MELMED LAW GROUP P.C. Jonathan Melmed (SBN 290218) jm@melmedlaw.com Kyle D. Smith (SBN 280489) 3 ks@melmedlaw.com Joanne Kim (SBN 340608) 4 joanne@melmedlaw.com 1801 Century Park East, Suite 850 Los Angeles, California 90067 6 Phone: (310) 824-3828 Fax: (310) 862-6851 7 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff, the Putative Class, and the Aggrieved Employees 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN 11 RICARDO ANTONIO CANO ALTAMIRANO, Case Number: STK-CV-UOE-2021-3723 12 an individual, on behalf of himself, the State of [Proposed] Order Granting Preliminary 13 California, as a private attorney general, and on **Approval of Class Action Settlement** behalf of all others similarly situated, 14 15 Plaintiff, 16 v. 17 IN HOME INSTALLATIONS INC., an Ohio 18 Corporation; AM HOME DELIVERY & 19 TRUCKING INC., a Corporation of Unknown Origin; WHITE GLOVE DELIVERY OF 20 CALIFORNIA, INC., a California Corporation; 21 and DOES 1 TO 50, 22 Defendants. 23 24 25 26 27 28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

This matter having come for hearing regarding Plaintiff's unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "Motion") on the terms set forth in the parties' Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims (the "Settlement Agreement") attached as Exhibit A to the concurrently filed Declaration of Jonathan Melmed in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Having considered the Settlement, all papers and proceedings held herein, and having reviewed the entire record in this action, the Court hereby finds and orders:

- 1. The Court grants Plaintiff leave file the overlength brief attached to the Motion.
- 2. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement and the "Class" (as that term is defined in the Motion) based upon the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Motion. The resolution set forth in the Settlement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class.
- 3. The Settlement Agreement falls within the range of reasonableness and is presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final fairness hearing and final approval by this Court.
- 4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement Agreement, the attorneys' fees and costs to Plaintiff's counsel, and Plaintiff's service award should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate as to the members of the Class is scheduled in Department 10A on the date and time set forth in the implementation schedule below.
- 5. This Court approves, as to form and content, the Court Approved Notice of Class Action Settlement and Hearing Date for Final Court Approval ("Class Notice") to be distributed to the Class Members pursuant to the Settlement Agreement in substantially the same form as is attached to the Settlement Agreement as Exhibit 1. The Court approves the procedure for Class Members to participate in, to opt out of, and to object to the Settlement as set forth in the Settlement.
- 6. The Court directs the mailing of the Class Notice by first class mail to the Class Members in accordance with the implementation schedule set forth below. The Court finds the dates selected for the mailing and distribution of the Class Notice, as set forth in the implementation schedule,

meet the requirements of due process and constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances and due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

- 7. The Court preliminarily certifies the Class, as defined in the Motion, for settlement purposes.
- 8. The Court confirms Plaintiff Ricardo Antonio Cano Altamirano ("Plaintiff") as class representative.
- The court confirms Jonathan Melmed, Kyle D. Smith, and Joanne Kim of Melmed Law
 Group P.C. as class counsel.
 - 10. The court appoints Phoenix Settlement Administrators as the settlement administrator.
- 11. To facilitate administration of the Settlement pending final approval, the court hereby enjoins Plaintiff and all members of the Class from filing or prosecuting any claims, suits, or administrative proceedings (including filing claims with the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement of the California Department of Industrial Relations) regarding claims released by the Settlement, unless and until such Class Members have filed valid requests for exclusion with the Settlement Administrator and the time for filing claims with the Settlement Administrator has elapsed.
 - 12. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule for further proceedings:

| a. | Deadline for Defendants to Submit Class List to the Settlement Administrator | [Within fourteen (14) calendar days of this order.] |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| b. | Deadline for Settlement Administrator to Mail Notice Packets to all Class Members | [Within twenty-eight (28) calendar days of this order.] |
| c. | Deadline for Class Members to Postmark Workweeks Challenges | [Within forty-five (45) days after mailing of the Class Notice.] |
| d. | Deadline for Class Members to Postmark Requests for Exclusion | [Within forty-five (45) days after mailing of the Class Notice.] |
| e. | Deadline for Class Members to Submit Any Objections to Settlement | [Within forty-five (45) days after mailing of the Class Notice.] |
| f. | Deadline for Settlement Administrator to Provide Class Counsel with Declaration of Due Diligence | [At least twenty-one (21) calendar days prior to the Final Approval and Fairness Hearing.] |

| 1 2 | f | Final Approval and Fairness Hearing at 9 a.m./p.m. |
|-----|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3 | | 13. If any of the dates in this implementation schedule fall on a weekend, bank or court |
| 4 | holi | day, the time to act shall be extended to the next business day. |
| 5 | HOI | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 6 | | II IS SO ORDERED. |
| 7 | | Mar. 201 2023 S. Ol 1 100 1 |
| 8 | Date | ed: May 24, 2003 Seary aldallah |
| 9 | | Judge of the Superior Court, County of San Joaquin GEORGE J. ASCALLAM, JR |
| 0 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 11 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | · |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | • |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |