ORIGINAL

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	HAINES LAW GROUP, APC Paul K. Haines (SBN 248226) phaines@haineslawgroup.com Sean M. Blakely (SBN 264384) sblakely@haineslawgroup.com Alexandra R. McIntosh (SBN 320904) amcintosh@haineslawgroup.com 2155 Campus Drive, Suite 180 El Segundo, California 90245 Tel: (424) 292-2350 Fax: (424) 292-2355 Attorneys for Plaintiff	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT OCT 2 1 2022 BY RAFAEL HERNANDEZ, DEPUTY
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO	
11		
12	ROBERTO NAVARRO, as an individual and	Case No.: CIVSB2106868
13	on behalf of all others similarly situated,	[Assigned for all purposes to the Hon. David Cohn; Dept. S-26]
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPUSED] ORDER GRANTING
15	vs.	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
16	PRIME CONVERTING CORPORATION, a	ACTION SETTLEMENT
17	California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100,	Date: October 21, 2022 Time: 10:00 a.m.
18	Defendants.	Dept.: S-26
19	Defendants.	Complaint Filed: February 26, 2021 Trial Date: None set
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

The Motion of Plaintiff Roberto Navarro ("Plaintiff") for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement came on regularly for hearing before this Court on October 21, 2022, at 10:00 a.m. The Court, having considered the proposed Stipulation of Settlement (the "Settlement Agreement"), attached to the Declaration of Paul K. Haines filed concurrently herein; having considered Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support thereof, and supporting declarations filed therewith; and good cause appearing, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

1. The Court GRANTS preliminary approval of the class action settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds its terms to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at a Final Fairness Hearing. For purposes of the Settlement, the Court finds that the proposed Settlement Class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-defined community of interest among the members of the Settlement Class in questions of law and fact. Therefore, for settlement purposes only, the Court grants conditional certification of the following Settlement Class:

All current and former non-exempt employees who worked for Defendant Prime Converting Corporation in California from September 1, 2016, until May 31, 2022 (the "Class Period").

- 2. For purposes of the Settlement, the Court designates named Plaintiff Roberto Navarro as Class Representative, and designates Paul K. Haines, Sean M. Blakely, and Alexandra R. McIntosh of Haines Law Group, APC as Class Counsel.
- 3. The Court designates Phoenix Settlement Administrators as the third-party Settlement Administrator for mailing notices.
- 4. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice and Notice of Estimated Settlement Award attached as Exhibits 1 and 2, respectively, to the Settlement.
- 5. The Court finds that the form of notice to the Settlement Class regarding the pendency of the action and of the Settlement, and the methods of giving notice to members of the Settlement Class, constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute valid, due, and sufficient notice to all members of the Settlement Class. The form and

method of giving notice complies fully with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law.

- 6. The Court further approves the procedures for Settlement Class members to opt out of or object to the Settlement, as set forth in the Class Notice.
- 7. The procedures and requirements for filing objections in connection with the Final Fairness Hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Settlement Class members' objection to the Settlement, in accordance with the due process rights of all Settlement Class members.
- 8. The Court directs the Settlement Administrator to mail the Class Notice to the members of the Settlement Class in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.
- 9. The Class Notice shall provide at least sixty (60) calendar days' notice for members of the Settlement Class to opt out of, or object to, the Settlement.
- 10. The Final Fairness Hearing on the question of whether the Settlement Agreement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate is scheduled in Department S-26 of this Court, located at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 on 3/13/23, 2023 at 10:06 a.m. / p.m.
- 11. At the Final Fairness Hearing, the Court will consider: (a) whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the Settlement Class; (b) whether a judgment granting final approval of the Settlement should be entered; and (c) whether Plaintiff's application for reasonable attorneys' fees, reimbursement of litigation expenses, enhancement payment to Plaintiff, settlement administration costs, and payment to the Labor & Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") for penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act should be granted.
- 12. Counsel for the parties shall file memoranda, declarations, or other statements and materials in support of their request for final approval of the Settlement, attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, Plaintiff's class representative enhancement payment, payment to the LWDA, and settlement administration costs, prior to the Final Fairness Hearing according to the time limits

set by the Code of Civil Procedure and the California Rules of Court.

13. An implementation schedule is below:

Date			
November 4, 2022			
November 18, 2022			
January 17, 2023			
Fohmung: 14, 2022			
February 14, 2023			
3/13 ,2023			

- 14. Pending the Final Fairness Hearing, all proceedings in this action, other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement and this Order, are stayed.
- 15. Counsel for the parties are hereby authorized to utilize all reasonable procedures in connection with the administration of the Settlement Agreement which are not materially inconsistent with either this Order or the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10/21, 2022

Honorable David Cohn Judge of the Superior Court

DAVID COHN