1		
- 1	AARON C. GUNDZIK (State Bar No. 132137) aaron.gundzik@gghllp.com REBECCA G. GUNDZIK (State Bar No. 138446)	
2		
3	rebecca.gundzik@gghllp.com GUNDZIK GUNDZIK HEEGER LLP	
4	14011 Ventura Blvd., Suite 206E Sherman Oaks, CA 91423	
5	Telephone: (818) 290-7461 Facsimile: (818) 918-2316	
6	JONATHAN M. LEBE (State Bar No. 284605)	
7	Jon@lebelaw.com LEBE LAW, A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPO 777 S. Alameda Street, Second Floor	DRATION
8	Los Angeles, CA 90021	
9	Telephone: (310) 921-7056 Facsimile: (310) 820-1258	
10	RODNEY MESRIANI (State Bar No. 184875)	
11	Rodney@mesriani.com MESRIANI LAW GROUP, A PROFESSIONAI	LAW CORPORATION
12	5723 Melrose Avenue, Second Floor Los Angeles, CA 90038	
13	Telephone: (310) 921-7050 Facsimile: (310) 820-1258	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Victor Castaneda and Ricardo Lopez, individually	
	and on behalf of all others similarly situated and as a representative of aggrieved employees	
15	a representative of aggrieved employees	
15 16	a representative of aggrieved employees SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	
		STATE OF CALIFORNIA
16	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE FOR THE COUNTY BRANDON CENTINO, VICTOR	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
16 17 18 19	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE FOR THE COUNTY	STATE OF CALIFORNIA Y OF ORANGE Case No.: 30-2018-00988493 Assigned to Hon. Peter Wilson,
16 17 18	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STANDON CENTINO, VICTOR CASTANEDA and RICARDO LOPEZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	STATE OF CALIFORNIA Y OF ORANGE Case No.: 30-2018-00988493 Assigned to Hon. Peter Wilson, Department CX102
16 17 18 19	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF THE COUNTY BRANDON CENTINO, VICTOR CASTANEDA and RICARDO LOPEZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs,	STATE OF CALIFORNIA Y OF ORANGE Case No.: 30-2018-00988493 Assigned to Hon. Peter Wilson,
16 17 18 19 20	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF THE COUNTY BRANDON CENTINO, VICTOR CASTANEDA and RICARDO LOPEZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs.	STATE OF CALIFORNIA Y OF ORANGE Case No.: 30-2018-00988493 Assigned to Hon. Peter Wilson, Department CX102 ORDER OF FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: August 20, 2020
16 17 18 19 20 21	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF THE COUNTY BRANDON CENTINO, VICTOR CASTANEDA and RICARDO LOPEZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs. ARROWHEAD PRODUCTS CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; and	STATE OF CALIFORNIA Y OF ORANGE Case No.: 30-2018-00988493 Assigned to Hon. Peter Wilson, Department CX102 ORDER OF FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF THE STATE OF THE COUNTY BRANDON CENTINO, VICTOR CASTANEDA and RICARDO LOPEZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs. ARROWHEAD PRODUCTS CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 25,	STATE OF CALIFORNIA Y OF ORANGE Case No.: 30-2018-00988493 Assigned to Hon. Peter Wilson, Department CX102 ORDER OF FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: August 20, 2020 Time: 2:00 p.m.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF THE COUNTY BRANDON CENTINO, VICTOR CASTANEDA and RICARDO LOPEZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs. ARROWHEAD PRODUCTS CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; and	STATE OF CALIFORNIA Y OF ORANGE Case No.: 30-2018-00988493 Assigned to Hon. Peter Wilson, Department CX102 ORDER OF FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: August 20, 2020 Time: 2:00 p.m. Dept.: CX102
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF THE STATE OF THE COUNTY BRANDON CENTINO, VICTOR CASTANEDA and RICARDO LOPEZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs. ARROWHEAD PRODUCTS CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 25,	STATE OF CALIFORNIA Y OF ORANGE Case No.: 30-2018-00988493 Assigned to Hon. Peter Wilson, Department CX102 ORDER OF FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: August 20, 2020 Time: 2:00 p.m. Dept.: CX102
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF THE STATE OF THE COUNTY BRANDON CENTINO, VICTOR CASTANEDA and RICARDO LOPEZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs. ARROWHEAD PRODUCTS CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 25,	STATE OF CALIFORNIA Y OF ORANGE Case No.: 30-2018-00988493 Assigned to Hon. Peter Wilson, Department CX102 ORDER OF FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: August 20, 2020 Time: 2:00 p.m. Dept.: CX102

The Court, having considered whether to order final approval of the settlement of this matter pursuant to the Stipulation of Class Action Settlement (the "Settlement Agreement" or "Stipulation"), having granted preliminary approval on January 16, 2020, having directed that notice be given to all Class Members of preliminary approval of the Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and the final approval hearing and the right to be excluded from or object to the settlement, having read and considered all of the papers of the parties and their counsel, and having received no objections to the settlement, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. The Motion for Final Approval of the settlement is granted;
- 2. The parties to this action are Plaintiffs Victor Castaneda and Ricardo Lopez ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant Arrowhead Products Corporation ("Arrowhead" or "Defendant").
- 3. After participating in an arms' length mediation, Plaintiffs and Defendant have agreed to a proposed settlement of this action on behalf of the Class Plaintiffs seek to represent. The terms of the proposed settlement are fully set forth in the Stipulation of Class Action Settlement (the "Settlement Agreement" or "Stipulation") attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Aaron Gundzik in Support of Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement.
- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action (the "Action") and over all parties to the Action, including the Representative Plaintiffs and the Class Members.
- 5. The terms used in this Order have the meaning assigned to them in the parties' Settlement Agreement.
- 6. The Court finds that the Settlement Class consists of all current and former non-exempt employees of Defendant in California during the Class Period, from December 29, 2013 through September 22, 2019.

- 7. The Settlement Class Period is December 29, 2013 through September 22, 2019.
- 8. In settlement, Defendant will pay the gross amount of \$1,800,000, plus the employer's share of all required payroll tax deductions. From this gross amount, the parties propose to deduct \$15,000 in fees to be paid to the Settlement Administrator, Service and Release Payments to the Representative Plaintiffs in the amount of \$7,500 each, Class Counsel's costs of \$14,545.80, and Class Counsel's attorneys' fees of \$600,000, which is justified in light of the benefit to the Class.
- 9. The amount remaining, after deductions approved by the Court, will be distributed to Settlement Class Members based on the number of Qualifying Workweeks each Settlement Class Member was employed by Defendant during the Class Period.
- 10. Five class members, Christine Waugh, David Pham, Jennifer Tang, Soi Chau and Tina Chau, filed requests to be excluded from the settlement. Therefore, all members of the Settlement Class with the exception of Christine Waugh, David Pham, Jennifer Tang, Soi Chau and Tina Chau will receive a settlement payment and be bound by the releases and Judgment.
- Administrators, of the gross settlement amount of \$1,800,000, plus the employer's share of withholding taxes, from Defendant, each and every Released Claim of each Settlement Class Member, with the exception of Christine Waugh, David Pham, Jennifer Tang, Soi Chau and Tina Chau, is and shall be deemed to be released as against the Released Parties. Upon the receipt by the Settlement Administer, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, of the gross settlement amount of \$1,800,000, plus the employer's share of withholding tax, from Defendant, all Settlement Class Members except Christine Waugh, David Pham, Jennifer Tang, Soi Chau and Tina Chau will be precluded in the future from making any claim based on, arising from, or relating to the allegations made in the Second Amended Complaint.
- 12. Neither the settlement, nor any of the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement, constitute any admission by Defendant, or any of the other Released Parties, of

liability to the Representative Plaintiffs or any Class Member, nor does this Final Approval Order constitute a finding by the Court of the validity of any of the claims alleged in the Action, or of any liability of Defendant or any of the other Released Parties.

- 13. The Court finds that the Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement ("Notice of Settlement") has been mailed to all Class Members as previously ordered by the Court, and that such Notice of Settlement fairly and adequately described the terms of the proposed Settlement Agreement, the manner in which the Class Members could object to or participate in the settlement, and the manner in which Class Members could opt out of the Class, was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, was valid, due and sufficient notice to all Class Members, and complied fully with Civil Code §1781(e), Rule of Court 3.769, due process and all other applicable laws. The Court further finds that a full and fair opportunity has been afforded to Class Members to participate in the proceedings convened to determine whether the proposed Settlement Agreement should be given final approval.
- 14. The Court finally approves of the distribution of the Net Settlement Amount to the Settlement Class Members. Settlement Class Members are not required to submit a claim form in order to receive payment. Rather, the gross amount paid to each Settlement Class Member will be based on the number of qualifying workweeks (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) each Settlement Class member worked for Defendant during the Class Period (December 29, 2013 through September 22, 2019).
- 15. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate as to the Settlement Class, the named Plaintiffs and Defendant, and is the product of good faith, arms' length negotiations between the parties, and further, that the Settlement Agreement is consistent with public policy, and fully complies with all applicable provisions of law. Accordingly, the Court hereby finally and unconditionally approves the Settlement Agreement and specifically approves of the allocation of the Gross Settlement Amount of \$1,800,000 ("Gross Settlement Amount"), plus the payment of the employer's share of all applicable payroll taxes and fees, as follows:

26

proceedings:

5

11

12

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27