1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

ENDORSED FILED SAN MATEO COUNTY

AUG 2 7 2019

Clerk of the Guperior Court By Jennifer Tannous DEPUTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

COMPLEX CIVIL LITIGATION

COORDINATION PROCEEDING SPE	CIAL
TITLE [RULE 3.550]	1

IN RE CROWN BUILDING MAINTENANCE WAGE AND HOUR CASES

Included action:

Cervantes v. Able (Orange No. 30-2013-00684702-CU-OE-CXC)

Case No. ASJAJCCP-4876

GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES, LITIGATION COSTS, CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENTS, AND CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION COSTS: HEDGMENT

Date:

August 20, 2019

Time:

1:30 p.m.

Dept.:

Coordination Judge: Hon. Marie S. Weiner

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This matter came on for hearing on August 20, 2019, at 1:30 p.m. in Department 2 of the above-captioned court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, 25 set form in the Joint Stiputetion of Class Action Settlement, ("Settlement").

In accordance with the Court's prior Preliminary Approval Order, Settlement Class Members have been given notice of the terms of the Settlement and the opportunity to submit a claim, request exclusion, comment upon or object to it or to any of its terms. Having received and considered the Settlement, the supporting papers filed by the Parties, and the evidence and argument received by the Court in conjunction with the motions for preliminary approval, final approval, and attorneys' fees and costs, the Court grants final approval of the Settlement and HEREBY ORDERS AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS:

- 1. Pursuant to this Court's Preliminary Approval Order, a Notice of Class Action Settlement, Claim form, and a pre-printed, postage paid return envelope were sent to each Settlement Class Member by First Class U.S. mail. The Notice informed the class of the terms of the Settlement, their right to receive their proportional share of the Settlement by submitting a valid and timely Proof of Claim form, their right to request exclusion, their right to comment upon or object to the Settlement, and their right to appear in person or by counsel at the final approval and fairness hearing and be heard regarding approval of the Settlement. Adequate periods of time were provided by each of these procedures. No member of the Settlement Class filed written objections of the Proposed Settlement as part of this notice process or stated an intention to appear at the final approval and fairness hearing.
- 2. For purposes of this Order and Judgment, the Class is defined as follows: "All hourly paid janitorial employees including day porters, rovers and utility employees in Southern California as geographically defined by the Los Angeles and Orange County master collective bargaining agreements."
- 3. The Court finds and determines that this notice procedure afforded adequate protections to Settlement Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision regarding approval of the Settlement based on the response of Settlement Class Members. The Court finds and determines that the notice provided in this case was the best notice practicable,

which satisfied the requirements of law and due process.

- 4. The Court further finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable and adequate to the Settlement Class and to each Settlement Class member and that the Settlement is ordered finally approved, and that all terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement, including the release of claims contained therein, should be and hereby are ordered to be consummated.
- 5. The Court finds and determines that the individual settlement payments to be paid to the participating Settlement Class members as provided for by the Settlement are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders the payment of those amounts be made to the participating Settlement Class Members in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 6. The Court gives final approval to and orders that the following payments be made in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement:
 - a. The Court grants an award of attorneys' fees of \$208,312.50, equal to 33.3% of the Maximum Settlement Amount. The attorney fee award is divided as follows: \$104,156.25 to Olsen Law Offices and \$104,156.25 Mahoney Law Group, APC;
 - b. The Court grants reimbursement of litigation costs of \$25,000.00. The litigation costs shall be divided as follows: \$5,000.00 to Olsen Law Offices, APC, and \$20,000.00 to Mahoney Law Group, APC;
 - The Court awards a class representative enhancement of \$7,500.00 to Plaintiff
 Fernando Cervantes; and
 - d. \$30,000.00 in administration costs payable to Phoenix Settlement
 Administrators for its services as Claims Administrator.
 - The following three individuals timely filed a valid request for exclusion: 1) Cirilo
 Fuentes; 2) Jeffrey McCann; and 3) Manuel Santiago Auza Maldonado.
- 8. Without affecting the finality of this Order and Judgment in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation and enforcement of this order and the Settlement pursuant to California Rule of Court