1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MICHAEL R. CROSNER (SBN 41299) ZACHARY M. CROSNER (SBN 272295) CROSNER LEGAL P.C. 433 N. Camden Dr., Suite 400 Beverly Hills, CA 90210 Tel. (310) 496-5818 Fax (310) 510-6429 <u>mike@crosnerlegal.com</u> <u>zach@crosnerlegal.com</u> Attorneys for Plaintiff Tracy Collins, On behalf of herself and others similarly situated		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
9 10	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE		
11			
12	TRACY COLLINS, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated,	CASE NO. 30-2016-00877510-CU-OE-CXC	
13	Plaintiff,	Assigned for All Purposes To: Hon. Randall J. Sherman	
14	vs. BRIDGE STAFFING SERVICES, LLC, a	Dept. CX-105	
15	Delaware limited liability corporation; MEMORIALCARE HOME HEALTH, LLC	NOTICE OF RULING	
16	dba MEMORIALCARE HEALTH SYSTEM, California limited liability corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,		
17	Defendants.		
18	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD: PLEASE TAKE NOTICE		
19 20	that on May 10, 2019, the Court entered its Order Granting Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.		
20			
21			
22			
23	Dated: May 14, 2019 CROSNE	R LEGAL, PC	
24	Dated. Iviay 14, 2017 CROSNE		
25	T	ACHERY M CROSNER	
26	At	ACHARY M. CROSNER ttorneys for Plaintiff TRACY COLLINS	
27			
28			

NOTICE OF RULING

EXHIBIT A

ZACHARY CROSNER 272295 MICHAEL CROSNER 41299 CROSNER LEGAL, P.C. 433 N. Camden Drive, Suite 400 Beverly Hills, California 90210 Telephone: (310) 496-5818 Facsimile: (310) 510-6429	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of Orange 05/10/2019 at 09:25:00 PM Clerk of the Superior Court By Corinne Mika,Deputy Clerk
Attorneys for Plaintiff Tracy Collins, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated	
SUPERIOR COUR	Г OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE – CIVIL COMPLEX CENTER	
 * TRACY COLLINS, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated, PLAINTIFF, vs. BRIDGE STAFFING SERVICES, LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation; MEMORIALCARE HOME HEALTH, LLC DBA MEMORIALCARE HEALTH SYSTEM, a California limited liability corporation; and DOES 1 to 100, Inclusive. DEFENDANTS. 	* * Case No. 30-2016-00877510-CU-OE-CXC CLASS ACTION ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS SETTLEMEN Dept.: CX105 (Floor 1) Judge: Hon. Randall J. Sherman Complaint filed: September 26, 2016

SETTLEMENT

I. RECITALS

WHEREAS, this action is pending before this Court as a putative class action;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff Tracy Collins has applied to this Court for Preliminary Approval of the Amended Joint Stipulation of Class Settlement which, together with the exhibits annexed thereto, sets forth the terms and conditions for a proposed settlement and entry of judgment upon the terms and conditions set forth therein; and

WHEREAS, the Court has read and considered the Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Amended Joint Stipulation of Class Settlement, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the declarations in support thereof and the exhibits annexed thereto.

II. FINDINGS

After review and consideration of the Parties' Proposed Class Settlement, the papers in support of the Preliminary Approval Motion, and the arguments of counsel, and having been fully advised in its premises, the Court finds as follows:

1. That certification of the Settlement Class solely for purposes of the Proposed Class Settlement Agreement is appropriate in that: 1) the Settlement Class Members are ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all Class Members is impracticable; 2) the Settlement Class Members raise common questions of law and fact which predominate over individual questions; 3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class Members; 4) Plaintiff and his counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and 5) a class action and class-wide resolution via class settlement procedures is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

2. That the Proposed Class Settlement, and the obligations of the Parties set forth therein, is fair, reasonable, and is an adequate settlement of this case and is in the best interests of the Settlement Class in light of the factual, legal, practical, and procedural considerations raised by this case.

3. That Plaintiff Tracy Collins does not have any conflicts that would preclude her from serving as Class Representative, and that her appointment comports with the requirements of due process.

4. That Counsel for Plaintiff does not have any conflicts that would preclude them from acting as Class Counsel, and that they meet the requirements of the California Code of Civil Procedure for appointment as Class Counsel and the requirements of due process.

5. That Plaintiff's proposed plan for class notice and settlement administration is the best notice practicable under the circumstances and is in full compliance with the California Code of Civil Procedure and the requirements of due process, and that the Notice of Class Action Settlement complies with the California Code of Civil Procedure and the requirements of due process, and is appropriate as part of the proposed plan for notice to Class Members.

III. ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

1. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769, the Proposed Class Settlement, as embodied in the terms of the proposed settlement attached to Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Amended Joint Stipulation of Class Settlement, as supplemented, is hereby preliminarily approved as a fair, reasonable, and adequate settlement of this case in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members, in light of the factual, legal, practical, and procedural considerations raised by this case. The Proposed Settlement is incorporated by reference into this Order and is hereby preliminarily adopted as an Order of this Court.

2. Solely for the purpose of the Settlement the Court hereby preliminarily certifies the stipulated Proposed Settlement Class as defined within the Proposed Settlement.

3.

Plaintiff Tracy Collins is hereby preliminarily appointed as Class Representative.

4. Zachary Crosner and Michael Crosner of Crosner Legal, P.C., are preliminarily appointed as Class Counsel.

5. Phoenix Settlement Administrators is appointed as the Claims Administrator.

6. Plaintiff's proposed plan for class notice and settlement administration is approved and adopted and the Notice of Class Action Settlement is approved and adopted.

7. The Second Amended Complaint shall be deemed the operative complaint for purposes of preliminary approval.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

8. On August 23, 2019 at 10:00 a.m. this Court will hold a Final Approval Hearing to determine whether the Agreement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate. All supporting papers, including the Plaintiff's request for attorneys' fees and costs, shall be filed no later than twenty-one (21) Calendar Days before the Fairness Hearing, and must be served in compliance with CCP notice of motion requirements. This Court may order the Final Approval Hearing to be postponed, adjourned or continued. If that occurs, the Parties will not be required to provide additional notice to the class members.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date Judge Signed: May 10, 2019

Narbell & thera

Randall J. Sherman JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT