1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KENNETH H. YOON (State Bar No. 198443) STEPHANIE E. YASUDA (State Bar No. 26548 BRIAN G. LEE (State Bar No. 300990) LAW OFFICES OF KENNETH H. YOON One Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2200 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 612-0988 Facsimile: (213) 947-1211 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Ricardo Ortega and Chris Davis [Additional Counsel on Following Page]	30)
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10 11	FOR THE COUNTY	OF LOS ANGELES
12	RICARDO ORTEGA, CHRIS DAVIS, and	Case No.: BC623610
13	ANTHONY ALVAREZ, as individuals and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	[Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Carolyn B.
14	Plaintiff,	. Kuhl., Dept. 12]
15	V.	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND NOTICE OF ORDER GRANTING
16	NESTLE WATERS NORTH AMERICA, INC.; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
23		
24		
25	·	
26		
27		
20	, ,	
	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND NOTICE O	

1 2 3 4 5	DOUGLAS HAN (State Bar No. 232858) SHUNT TATAVOS-GHARAJEH (State Bar No. 272164) DANIEL J. PARK (State Bar No. 274973) JUSTICE LAW CORPORATION 411 North Central Avenue, Suite 500 Glendale, California 91203 Telephone: (818) 230-7502 Facsimile: (818) 230-7259					
6	EMIL DAVTYAN (State Bar Number 299363) DAVTYAN PLC					
7 8	21900 Burbank Boulevard, Suite 300 Woodland Hills, California 91367 Telephone: (818) 992-2935					
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs					
10	Ricardo Ortega and Chris Davis					
11						
12						
13						
14						
15	·					
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						,
21						
22						
23						
24			•			
25		,			*	
26						
27						
20				•		

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: 1 2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on October 29, 2018 at 10:30 a.m., the Court 3 entered an Order Granting the Final Approval Motion and Settlement Agreement. 4 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on October 29, 2018 at 10:30 a.m., the Court 5 entered Judgment. 6 A copy of the Court's order and a copy of the Court's judgment is attached 7 hereto as Exhibit A. 8 9 Dated: October 29, 2018 LAW OFFICES OF KENNETH H. YOON 10 11 Kenneth H. Yoon Stephanie E. Yasuda 12 Brian G. Lee Attorneys for Plaintiffs 13 Ricardo Ortega, Chris Davis and 14 Anthony Alvarez 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 . 24 25 26 27

20

EXHIBIT A

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

OCT 29 2018

OCT 04 2018

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court Deputy

S. DREW

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

AMERICA

6

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

RICARDO ORTEGA, CHRIS DAVIS, and ANTHONY ALVAREZ, as individuals and on

WATERS NORTH

INC., an entity unknown; and DOES 1 through

Defendants.

behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

11

12

13

14

NESTLE

50, inclusive.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

Case No.: BC623610

[Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl, Dept. 12] STI) DEMENT

PROPERED ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

October 29, 2018 Date: Time: 10:30 am

Place: Department 12

ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

EXHIBIT A

ORDER

This matter came before the Court on October 29, 2018 at 10:30 am for a hearing on the Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Enhancement Payment.

WHEREAS, the Court has received and reviewed the Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release (the "Settlement Agreement"), entered into between the Plaintiffs Ricardo Ortega, Chris Davis, and Anthony Alvarez ("Plaintiffs or "Class Representatives"), on the one hand, and Defendant Nestle Waters North America, Inc. ("Defendant") on the other hand, and has considered the terms of the proposed Settlement set forth therein (the "Settlement");

WHEREAS, all terms contained herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, unless otherwise defined herein;

WHEREAS, on June 6, 2018, the Court entered its order preliminarily approving the Settlement of this class action, approving the form and method of notice, and setting a date and time for a Final Approval and Fairness Hearing to consider whether the Settlement should be finally approved by the Court pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 382 as fair, adequate, and reasonable (the "Procedural Order");

WHEREAS, the Procedural Order further directed that all Class Members be given notice of the Settlement and of the date for the Final Approval and Fairness Hearing;

WHEREAS, the Court has received the declaration of Melissa Meade of Phoenix Settlement Administrators, the Claims Administrator, attesting to the mailing of the Notice Packet in accordance with the Procedural Order;

WHEREAS, the settlement administration went forth as ordered by this Court;

WHEREAS, there have been zero (0) objections regarding the Settlement;

WHEREAS, the following only one (1) Class Member has opted out of the total Class; and

WHEREAS, the Court having conducted a Final Approval and Fairness Hearing (the "Fairness Hearing"), and having considered the arguments presented, all papers filed and all proceedings had therein;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

21_.

- 1. The Court retains jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action, all Class Members (including Class Representatives) and Defendants to enforce the terms of the Judgment.
- 2. In accordance with California Code of Civil Procedure Section 382, California Rule of Court 3.769 and the requirements of due process, all Class Members have been given proper and adequate Notice of the Settlement. Based upon the evidence submitted by the parties, the Settlement Agreement, the arguments of counsel, and all the files, records and proceedings in this case, the Court finds that the Notice Packet and Notice methodology implemented pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and the Court's Preliminary Approval Order: (a) constituted the best practicable notice under the circumstances; (b) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Class Members of the pendency of the litigation, their right to object to the Settlement, and their right to appear at the Fairness Hearing; (c) was reasonable and constituted due, adequate and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to notice; and (d) met all applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 382, California Rule of Court 3.769, and any other applicable law.
- 3. The Settlement Agreement in this action warrants final approval pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 382 because it is fair, adequate, and reasonable to those it affects, and resulted from vigorously contested litigation, substantial discovery, motion practice, and extensive good-faith arm's length negotiations between the parties, and is in the public interest considering the following factors:
 - (a) the strength of the Plaintiffs' case;
 - (b) the risk, expense, complexity and likely duration of further litigation;
 - (c) the risk of maintaining class action status throughout the trial;
 - (d) the amount offered in settlement;
 - (e) the extent of discovery completed, and the stage of the proceedings;
 - (f) the experience and views of counsel; and
 - (g) the reaction of the class members to the proposed settlement.
- Clark v. Am. Residential Servs. LLC, 175 Cal. App. 4th 785, 799 (2009). Settlements that follow sufficient discovery and genuine arm's length negotiation are presumed fair. Dunk v. Ford Motor Co.,

48 Cal. App. 4th 1794, 1802 (1996), as modified (Sept. 30, 1996). The Court finds the settlement is entitled to a presumption of fairness.

- 4. The Final Approval Motion is hereby GRANTED, and the Settlement Agreement is hereby APPROVED as fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the public interest, and the terms of the Settlement Agreement are hereby determined to be fair, reasonable and adequate, for the exclusive benefit of the Settlement Class Members. The Parties are directed to consummate the Settlement Agreement in accordance with its terms.
- 5. The Court APPROVES the funding of the Settlement in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 6. The Court APPROVES payment of Class Representatives' Enhancement Payments in the amount of \$10,000.00 to Plaintiffs Ricardo Ortega and Chris Davis, and \$5,000.00 to Plaintiff Anthony Alvarez in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 7. The Court APPROVES payment of attorneys' fees in the amount of \$200,000 and costs in the amount of \$28,854.82 to Class Counsel in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

 Approved the file and the court of the benefit of the benefit.
- 8. The Court APPROVES the PAGA Payment of \$10,000.00 of the Gross Settlement Amount to be allocated to claims under the Labor Code Private Attorney General Act of 2004, California Labor Code §§ 2698 et seq., with \$7,500.00 being awarded to the State of California and \$2,500.00 being awarded to Qualified Claimants by adding that sum to the Net Settlement Amount.
- 9. The Court APPROVES payment of costs to the Claims Administrator, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, for Settlement Administration Costs in the amount of \$14,500.00 in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the Declaration of Melissa Meade.
- 10. The allocation plan is hereby APPROVED as fair, adequate, and reasonable. The Net Settlement Amount, as well as the Class Representative's Enhancement Payment, Settlement Administration Costs, and Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and litigation costs shall be distributed in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement and any further orders of this Court.
- 11. In consideration of the Net Settlement Amount, and for other good and valuable consideration, each of the Class Members who have not timely excluded himself/herself from this

11 12

10

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

Settlement pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement shall have fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all claims against Defendant in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement and as the Qualified Claimants/Class Representatives' Released Claims, as defined in the Settlement Agreement.

- 12. Without affecting the finality of this order in any way, this Court retains jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of the Settlement and the terms of the Settlement Agreement; (b) distribution of the Net Settlement Amount, the Class Representatives' Enhancement Payments, Settlement Administration Costs, and the attorneys' fees and costs amount; PAGA Payment, and (c) all other proceedings related to the implementation, interpretation, administration, consummation, and enforcement of the terms of the Settlement Agreement and/or the Settlement, and the administration of claims by Class Members.
- Per California Rules of Court, Rule 3.771(b), Phoenix Settlement Administrators is directed to post the final judgment, once entered, on its website.
- 14. 1. This Court finds that there is no just reason for delay and expressly directs entry by the Clerk of the Court of Final Judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED. This order out final July ment constitutes the july muid dispoints of the action a coording to the torms he rein.

Is plaintiff to enbouit Declaration of claims administrator per distribution of Fuelds by July 1, 2019. Case soft for Non
per distribution of Fuelds by July 1, 2019. Case soft for Non
Applearance Case Review Re some. July 8, 2019 4 pm.

1	PROOF OF SERVICE			
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)			
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES) ss.			
4	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and no a party to the within action; my business address is One Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2200, Los Angeles, Californ 90017.			
5	On October 4, 2018 I served the following document(s) described as:			
6 7	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT			
8				
9	shown on the attached mailing list.			
10	[] (BY FACSIMILE) I am readily familiar with the business practices of this office. The telephone number of the facsimil			
11	California Rules of Court. Upon transmission, no error was reported by the facsimile machine and			
12	printed copy of the machine's transmission record indicating that the transmission was successfull completed is attached to this declaration.			
13	[] By having copies personally delivered to the designated party(ies).			
14	[X] (BY MAIL)			
15	I am familiar with my employer's mail collection and processing practices; know that mail is collected and deposited with the United States Postal Services on the same day it is deposited in interoffice mail and know that postage thereon is fully prepaid.			
16	[] (BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE)			
17 18	Based on a court order to accept service by electronic means, I caused a true and correct copy of the document(s) to be served electronically on counsel of record by transmission to an e-service provide designated by the Court.			
19	[] (BY FEDERAL EXPRESS COURIER)			
20	I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for Federal Express delivery. Under that practice it would be deposited with the Federal Express Courier			
21	on that same day at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business.			
22	[X] (State) I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.			
23	[] (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.			
24	Executed on October 4, 2018, at Los Angeles, California.			
25				
26				
27	/RENEE LIN			
28				

Ricardo Ortega et al. v. Nestle Waters North America, Inc. Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC623610

SERVICE LIST

	Superior Court of Camor
2	
3	
4	Attorneys for Defendant Nestle Waters North America Inc.
5	Douglas E. Dexter
6	Chandra S. Russell
7	James H. Baker FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP
8	235 Montgomery Street, 17 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104
9	Telephone: (415) 954-4400
10	Facsimile: (415) 954-4480
11	
12	
13	
14	·
15	
16	·
17	
18	, ·
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

27

28

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Ricardo Ortega
Chris Davis
Anthony Alvarez

Douglas Han dhan@justicelawcorp.com
Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh
Daniel J. Park
JUSTICE LAW CORPORATION
411 N. Central Avenue, Suite 500
Glendale, California 91203
Telephone: (818) 230-7502
Facsimile: (818) 230-7259

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Ricardo Ortega Chris Davis Anthony Alvarez

Emil Davtyan
Emil@DavtyanLaw.com
DAVTYAN PLC
5959 Topanga Canyon Blvd., Suite 130
Woodland Hills, California 91367
Telephone: (818) 875-2008
Facsimile: (818) 722-3974

1	PROOF OF SERVICE					
1	STAT	TE OF CALIFORNIA)			
2	COU	INTY OF LOS ANGELES)	SS.		
3	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action; my business address is One Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2200, Los Angeles, California 90017.					
5						
6	On October 29, 2018 I served the following document(s) described as:					VAL OF
7	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT					
8	on all interested parties in this action by placing true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as shown on the attached mailing list.					
9	[]	(BY FACSIMILE)				
10					3(3) of the	
11		printed copy of the machine's tracompleted is attached to this declara	nsmission			
13	[]	By having copies personally deliver	red to the d	lesignated pa	arty(ies).	
14 15	[]	(BY MAIL) I am familiar with my employer's and deposited with the United State and know that postage thereon is ful	es Postal Se	ervices on th	ocessing practices; know that mail ne same day it is deposited in inter-	is collected office mail;
16 17 18	[X]	(BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE) Based on a court order to accept s document(s) to be served electroni designated by the Court.				
19 20	[] (BY FEDERAL EXPRESS COURIER) I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for Federal Express delivery. Under that practice it would be deposited with the Federal Express Courier on that same day at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business.					
21	[X]	(State) I declare under per	nalty of per	jury that the	above is true and correct.	
22 23	[]	(Federal) I declare that I am direction the service was made.	employed	I in the office	e of a member the Bar of this Cou	rt at whose
24		Executed on October 29, 2018, at L	os Angeles	s, California.		
25						
26				OF.	NIEGIG HEDNIANDEG	
27				GE	ENESIS HERNANDEZ	
28						

Ricardo Ortega et al. v. Nestle Waters North America, Inc. Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC623610

SERVICE LIST

2

1

3

4

Attorneys for Defendant

San Francisco, CA 94104

Telephone: (415) 954-4400

Facsimile: (415) 954-4480

Douglas E. Dexter

Chandra S. Russell

Nestle Waters North America Inc.

235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor

5 6

James H. Baker 7 FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Ricardo Ortega

Chris Davis

Anthony Alvarez

Douglas Han

dhan@justicelawcorp.com

Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh

Daniel J. Park

JUSTICE LAW CORPORATION

411 N. Central Avenue, Suite 500

Glendale, California 91203 Telephone: (818) 230-7502

Facsimile: (818) 230-7259

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Ricardo Ortega

Chris Davis

Anthony Alvarez

Emil Davtyan

Emil@DavtyanLaw.com

DAVTYAN PLC

5959 Topanga Canyon Blvd., Suite 130

Woodland Hills, California 91367

Telephone: (818) 875-2008 Facsimile: (818) 722-3974