

AARON C. GUNDZIK (State Bar No. 132137) CONFORMED COPY REBECCA G. GUNDZIK (State Bar No. 138446) ORIGINAL FILED GARTENBERG GELFAND HAYTON LLP Superior Court of California 15260 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1920 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 JUN 27 2018 Telephone: (213) 542-2100 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Cou-Facsimile: (213) 542-2101 By: Brittny Smith, Deputy 5 MARSHALL A. CASKEY (State Bar No. 65410) DANIEL M. HOLZMAN (State Bar No. 176663) **CASKEY & HOLZMAN** 24025 Park Sorrento, Ste. 400 Calabasas, CA 91302 Telephone: (818) 657-1070 Facsimile: (818) 297-1775 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff Tiffany M. Hernandez, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated 10 11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 13 14 TIFFANY M. HERNANDEZ, individually and Case No.: BC628414 15 on behalf of all others similarly situated, Assigned for all purposes to: 16 Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl, Dept. 12 Plaintiff, 17 VS. NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER 18 THE BICYCLE CASINO LP, a California Limited Partnership; THE BICYCLE 19 CASINO, INC., a California Corporation and Complaint Filed: July 26, 2016 DOES 1 through 25, 20 Defendants. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Amended Order Granting Final Approval of

Class Action Settlement was entered on June 21, 2018. A true and correct copy is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

DATED: June 27, 2018

GARTENBERG GELFAND HAYTON LLP

By:_

Rebecca G. Gundzik

Attorneys for Plaintiff Tiffany M. Hernandez, individually and on behalf of all others

similarly situated

Exhibit A

CONFORMED C ORIGINAL FILED AARON C. GUNDZIK (State Bar No. 132137) Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles REBECCA G. GUNDZIK (State Bar No. 138446) GARTENBERG GELFAND HAYTON LLP JUN 21 2018 15260 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1920 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk Telephone: (213) 542-2100 By: Jan Josef Manrique, Deputy Facsimile: (213) 542-2101 MARSHALL A. CASKEY (State Bar No. 65410) DANIEL M. HOLZMAN (State Bar No. 176663) CASKEY & HOLZMAN 24025 Park Sorrento, Ste. 400 Calabasas, CA 91302 Telephone: (818) 657-1070 Facsimile: (818) 297-1775 Attorneys for Plaintiff Tiffany M. Hernandez, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated 10 11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 13 14 TIFFANY M. HERNANDEZ, individually and Case No.: BC628414 15 [Related to Case No. BC677557] on behalf of all others similarly situated, 16 Plaintiff. Assigned for all purposes to: 17 Judge Carolyn B. Kuhl, Dept. 12 18 THE BICYCLE CASINO LP, a California AMENDED ORDER Limited Partnership; THE BICYCLE GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF 19 CASINO, INC., a California Corporation and CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT DOES 1 through 25, 20 Date: June 20, 2018 Defendants. Time: 11:00 a.m. 21 Dept.: 12 (Spring Street Courthouse) 22 23 Complaint Filed: July 26, 2016 24 25 26 27

D] AMENDED ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

19

21

22 23

24

27

28

The Court, having considered whether to order final approval of the Settlement of this matter pursuant to the Stipulation of Class Action Settlement ("Settlement Agreement"), and having granted preliminary approval on January 23, 2018, having directed that notice be given to all Class Members of preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement and the final approval hearing and the right to be excluded from or object to the Settlement, and having read and considered all of the papers of the parties and their counsel (and the papers of Michael R. Lancaster-Carson, the plaintiff in related Case No. BC677557) and having received no timely objections to the Settlement; and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. The motion for final approval of the Settlement is granted;
- 2. The parties to this action are Plaintiff Tiffany Hernandez, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated ("Plaintiff"), and Defendants The Bicycle Casino, L.P. and The Bicycle Casino, Inc. ("Defendants").
- 3. After participating in two arms' length mediations, Plaintiff and Defendant The Bicycle Casino, L.P. ("Defendant" or "TBC") have agreed to a proposed settlement of this action on behalf of the Class that Plaintiff seeks to represent. The terms of the proposed settlement are fully set forth in the Stipulation of Class Action Settlement, attached to the 18 Declaration of Aaron C. Gundzik in Exhibit A.
 - 4. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action (the "Action") and over all parties to the Action, including the Representative Plaintiff and the members of the Settlement Class.
 - 5. The terms used in this Order have the meaning assigned to them in the parties' Settlement Agreement.
 - 6. The Court finds that the following individuals are members of the Settlement Class: "all current and former non-exempt employees of Defendant employed by Defendant in California during the Settlement Class Period," except for the following individuals, who timely requested to be excluded from the Settlement: Michael V. Harris, Paula Chea, George

15

17

18

21

23

26

28

Tanus, Jaime Hernandez (employee identification no. 10841), Raymond Mehlbaum (whose widow requested exclusion from the Settlement on his behalf), Bertha Parrington, and Eivon S. Henan.

- 7. The Court finds that the Settlement Class Period means the period from July 26, 2012 through January 23, 2018.
- 8. In settlement, TBC will pay the gross settlement amount of \$1,750,000. From this gross amount, the parties propose to deduct \$22,500 in fees to be paid to the Settlement Administrator, a Service Payment to Representative Plaintiff Tiffany Hernandez in the amount of \$5,000, a payment of \$25,000.50 to the California Labor Workforce and Development Agency, Class Counsel's costs of \$11,740.30, and Class Counsel's attorneys' fees of \$583,333.33, which is just fied in light of the benefit
- The amount remaining, after the above-referenced deductions approved by the Court, will be distributed to the individual Settlement Class Members in accordance with the formula specified in the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. All of the Settlement Class Members (which by definition excludes the seven 16 individuals referenced above who timely requested exclusion from the Settlement) are bound by the Settlement, this Order, and the Court's judgment in this Action.
- As of the Effective Date of this Final Approval Order (which will be the later 11. 19 of (a) the first business day immediately following the date on which the time for all appeals or requests for review relating to Objections to Settlement and the Final Approval Order has expired; or (b) if an appeal, review or writ is sought from the Final Approval Order, the date on which (i) the highest reviewing court renders its decision denying the petition or writ challenging the Final Approval Order or renders its decision affirming the Final Approval Order and (ii) the Final Approval Order is no longer subject to further review), all of the Released Claims of each Settlement Class Member is and shall be deemed to be released as

Jaime Hernandez's employee identification number is stated in this Order to avoid confusion, as there are two individuals named "Jaime Hernandez" in the Class. Only the Jaime Hernandez with this employee identification number is excluded from the Settlement.

17

19

20

18

22

23

25

26 27

28

against the Released Parties. All Settlement Class Members will be precluded in the future from bringing any Released Claims against the Released Parties. Further, as of the Effective Date of this Final Approval Order, Representative Plaintiff will be precluded in the future from bringing any Representative Plaintiff's Released Claims against the Released Parties.

- Neither the Settlement, nor any of the terms set forth in the Settlement 12. Agreement, constitute any admission by Defendants, or any of the other Released Parties, of liability to the Representative Plaintiff or any member of the Class, nor does this Final Approval Order constitute a finding by the Court of the validity of any of the claims alleged in the Action, or of any liability or wrongdoing of Defendants or any of the other Released Parties. Nor should the Settlement, or any of the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement, be construed as a determination as to the certifiability of any class if the 12 merits of class certification had been litigated in this Action, or in any other action. Further, no action taken to carry out the terms of the Settlement shall be construed as an admission or concession by or against the Released Parties. Evidence of the making or entering into the Settlement shall not be offered or received into evidence in any action 16 or proceeding against any party hereto in any Court, or other tribunal for any purpose, other than to enforce the instant Order, the resulting judgment, or the Settlement, or to support a defense by the Released Parties of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction.
 - 13. The Court finds that the Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement and Hearing Date for Court Approval ("Notice of Settlement") has been mailed to all members of the Class by the best practicable means as previously ordered by the Court, and that such Notice of Settlement fairly and adequately described the terms of the proposed Settlement Agreement, the manner in which the Class Members could object to or participate in the settlement, and the manner in which Class Members could opt out of the Settlement Class; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with California Rule of Court 3.769, due process,

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

and all other applicable laws. The Court further finds that a full and fair opportunity has been afforded to Class Members to participate in the proceedings convened to determine whether the proposed Settlement Agreement should be given final approval.

- 14. The Court finally approves of the distribution of the Net Settlement Amount to the Settlement Class Members. Settlement Class Members are not required to submit a claim form in order to receive payment. Rather, the settlement amount paid to each member of the Settlement Class will be based on the number of Qualifying Workweeks she or he worked for TBC during the Class Period.
- adequate as to the Class, the named Plaintiff and Defendants, and is the product of good faith, arms' length negotiations between the parties, and further, that the Settlement Agreement is consistent with public policy, and fully complies with all applicable provisions of law. Accordingly, the Court hereby finally and unconditionally approves the Settlement Agreement and specifically approves of the allocation of the Gross Settlement Amount of \$1,750,000 ("Gross Settlement Amount"), as follows, to be distributed pursuant to the provisions of paragraph 16 of this Order:
 - a. The Court approves of payment of the remainder of the Gross Settlement Amount (the "Net Settlement Amount"), approximately \$1,102,425.87, to the members of the Settlement Class, and that payment to each Settlement Class Member shall be deemed one-third wages, one-third penalties, and one-third interest;
 - The Court approves of the payment of Settlement Administration Costs of \$22,500 to Phoenix Settlement Administrators;
 - c. The Court approves of a payment in the amount of \$25,000.50 to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") as the LWDA's share of the settlement of the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA") claims;

- d. The Court approves of the service and release payment in the amount of \$5,000 to the Representative Plaintiff, Tiffany Hernandez, for her time and effort in pursuing this Action and as additional compensation for the expanded release she is providing;
- e. The Court approves of Class Counsel's attorneys' fees request of \$583,333.33, which is one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount, finding that it is reasonable to compensate Plaintiff's counsel on a contingency basis in light of the benefit provided to the Class and that a lodestare analysis confirms the reasonableness of the contingency fee;
- f. The Court approves of Class Counsel's request for reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses in the amount of \$11,740.30;
- g. The Court approves that if a Settlement Class Member's check is not cashed within 180 days, the check will be void and a stop payment order may be placed on the check, and that approximately one year after the Effective Date, the amount of each uncashed Settlement Payment check shall be entirely distributed to the Department of Industrial Relations Labor Code § 96.7 Unpaid Wage Fund in the name of the individual Settlement Class Member, which will provide such Settlement Class Members a further opportunity to obtain their settlement payments.
- 16. The Court approves of the following implementation schedule for further proceedings:
 - Deadline for Defendant The Bicycle Casino, L.P. to deliver the Gross Settlement Amount to the Settlement Administrator: Within fifteen (15) calendar days of the Effective Date.
 - Mailing of Payments to Class Members: Within ten (10) days of receipt by the Settlement Administrator of the Gross Settlement Amount and Employer's Withholding Share from Defendant.

- Payment to Representative Plaintiff: Within ten (10) days of receipt by the Settlement Administrator of the Gross Settlement Amount and Employer's Withholding Share from Defendant.
- Payment to Class Counsel of Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and Class
 Counsel's costs: Within ten (10) days of receipt by the Settlement
 Administrator of the Gross Settlement Amount and Employer's Withholding
 Share from Defendant.
- Payment to LWDA for its share of PAGA settlement: Within ten (10) days of receipt by the Settlement Administrator of the Gross Settlement Amount and Employer's Withholding Share from Defendant.
- Submission of Final Approval Order to LWDA: Within ten (10) days of receipt by the Settlement Administrator of the Gross Settlement Amount and Employer's Withholding Share from Defendant.
- Final Report from the Settlement Administrator: After final distribution of Net Settlement Amount.
- 17. A compliance hearing is set for March 19, 2019. If a satisfactory compliance status report is filed at least 5 court days before the compliance hearing, no appearances will be required.
- 18. As provided in the Settlement Agreement, invalidation of any material portion of the Settlement Agreement shall invalidate the Settlement Agreement in its entirety (unless the Parties shall agree in writing that the remaining provisions shall remain in full force and effect), in which case, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, nunc pro tunc, except insofar as expressly provided to the contrary in the

1	Settlement Agreement, and without prejudice to the status quo ante rights of Plaintiff, Class
2	Members, and Released Parties.
3	COMPLEX CIVIL LITIGATION
5	Dated: Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl
7	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
23	
24	
25	
6	
7	

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and not a party to the within action. My business address is 15260 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1920, Sherman Oaks, California 91403.

On June 27, 2018, I served the following document described as

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

on the interested parties in this action:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

28

by serving () the original (X) true copies thereof as follows: **(X)**

PLEASE SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

10 BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION BY MAIL () I caused said document(s) to be transmitted by facsimile 11 I caused such envelope to be deposited in the mail at transmission to the name(s) and facsimile telephone Los Angeles, California. The envelope was mailed with number(s) of the person(s) named on the attached service 12 postage thereon fully prepaid. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing list. The facsimile machine telephone number of the sending correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with U.S. postal facsimile machine was (213) 542-2101. A transmission 13 report was issued by the sending facsimile machine service on that same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is confirming that the transmission was completed without 14 presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter error. A true and correct copy of said transmission report is attached hereto. 15 date is more than one (1) day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION 16 (XX) Said document was placed in an envelope designated by I caused the above-described document to be electronically 17 served through Case Anywhere pursuant to the Court's the express service center and placed for collection in a Order Authorizing Electronic Service dated November 29, box regularly maintained by said carrier with whom we 2016 to the names and email addresses listed on the 18 have a direct billing account, to be delivered to the office Service List attached hereto. of the addressee listed above on the next business day. 19 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California (X) STATE 20 that the above is true and correct. I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this 21 () **FEDERAL** court at whose direction the service was made. 22 (X) EXECUTED on June 27, 2018, at Sherman Qaks, California 23 24 2.5 26 27

SERVICE LIST 1 Shaun Setareh, Esq. Carla L. Feldman, Esq. 2 Amy B. Pinske, Esq. H. Scott Leviant, Esq. SETAREH LAW GROUP 3 Donna Mo, Esq. 9454 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 907 ARENT FOX LLP Beverly Hills, CA 90212 555 West Fifth Street, 48th Floor 4 Telephone: (310) 888-7771 Facsimile: (310) 888-0109 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1065 Telephone: (213) 629-7400 5 Email: shaunt@setarehlaw.com Facsimile: (213) 629-7401 Email: carla@caf-lawgroup.com scott@setarehlaw.com (Served via overnight delivery and email) amy@caf-lawgroup.com donna.mo@arentfox.com 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael R. Lancaster, Attorneys for Defendant the Bicycle Casino, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated L.P.9 10 Marshall A. Caskey, Esq. Daniel M. Holzman, Esq. CASKEY & HOLZMAN 11 24025 Park Sorrento, Ste. 400 Calabasas, CA 91302 12 Telephone: (818) 657-1070 13 Facsimile: (818) 297-1775 Attorneys for Plaintiff Tiffany M. Hernandez, 14 individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27