i			
1	Edwin Aiwazian (SBN 232943) Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827)		
2	Joanna Ghosh (SBN 272479) LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC	Superior County of L	rt of California os Angeles
3	410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203 Glendale, California 91203	DEC 20	0 2017
4	Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021	Shorri FL Cartes Exe	outive Officer/Clerk
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class	Mariséla F	regoso Deputy
6			
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL CIVIL WEST		
9			
10	SHELIA BROOKS; individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public	Case No.: BC5945	511
11	similarly situated;	Honorable William F. Highberger Department 322 CLASS ACTION [PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	VS.		
14	LERETA, LLC, an unknown business entity; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,		
15 16	Defendants.	Date: Time: Department:	December 20, 2017 11:00 a.m. 322
17		Complaint Filed:	September 14, 2015
18		FAC Filed: SAC Filed: Jury Trial Date:	March 8, 2016 July 3, 2017 None Set
19			
20			
21			
22 23			RECEIVED Central Civil West
$\begin{bmatrix} 23 \\ 24 \end{bmatrix}$			NOV 28 2017
25			By: C. Vargas
26			ay. O. Vargao
20 27			
28			
0			

[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

This matter has come before the Honorable William F. Highberger in Department 322 of the above-entitled Court, located at the Central Civil West Courthouse, 600 Commonwealth Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90005, on Plaintiff Shelia Brooks' ("Plaintiff") Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Incentive Award ("Motion for Final Approval").

On July 25, 2017, the Court granted Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, and entered an Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order"), thereby preliminarily approving the settlement of the above-captioned action ("Action") in accordance with the Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release to Settle Class Action, which, together with the exhibits annexed thereto and Amendment No. 1 to Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release to Settle Class Action (collectively, "Settlement," "Agreement," or "Settlement Agreement"), set forth the terms and conditions for settlement of the Action.

Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and duly considered the parties' papers and oral argument, and good cause appearing,

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class Members asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the Action.
- 3. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, et seq. have been satisfied with respect to the Class and the Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Class for settlement purposes, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Class is hereby defined to include:

All current and former California-based non-exempt individuals who have been employed or are currently employed by Defendant Lereta, LLC ("Defendant") within the state of California between September 14, 2011 and January 23, 2016.

- 4. The Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") that was provided to the Class Members, fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the Settlement and of their opportunity to participate in, object to or comment thereon, or to seek exclusion from, the Settlement; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided the Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.
- 5. Pursuant to California law, the Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement and finds it reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class as a whole. More specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Class Counsel; that the Settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arms-length negotiations between the parties; and that the terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. In so finding, the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of the Plaintiff's case; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in the Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel. The Court has further considered the absence of any objections and that there has only been three (3) valid and timely Opt-Out Requests submitted by Class Members to the Settlement Administrator. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Settlement be affected in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.
- 6. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in the Final Approval Hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. The Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the Settlement and the Class. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and properly execute and submit an Opt-Out Request to the Settlement Administrator are bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

///

///

- 12. The Court finds that the Incentive Award sought is fair and reasonable for the work performed by Plaintiff on behalf of the Class. It is hereby ordered that that the Settlement Administrator issue payment to Plaintiff Shelia Brooks in the amount of \$5,000 for her Incentive Award within five (5) business days of receipt of funds from Defendant.
- 13. The Court finds that the request for the Fees Award in the amount of \$300,405 falls within the range of reasonableness, and the results achieved justify the award sought. The requested Fees Award is fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and is hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$300,405 to Lawyers for Justice, PC for the Fees Award within five (5) business days of receipt of funds from Defendant, according to the methodology and terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. The Court finds that the litigation costs and expenses in the amount of \$12,085.56 incurred by Class Counsel in prosecuting the Action are reasonable, and hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$12,085.56 to Lawyers *for* Justice, PC for reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses within five (5) business days of receipt of funds from Defendant.
- 15. With this final approval of the Settlement, the Court hereby enters Judgment by which Participating Class Members shall be conclusively determined to have given a release of, and permanently enjoined and forever barred from asserting, any Released Claims against the Released Parties, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and the Class Notice. Only Participating Class Members who negotiate their Individual Settlement Payment check or intentionally act to receive payment under the Settlement, will be deemed to have opted into the release of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") claims and to have thereby released FLSA claims against the Released Parties.
- 16. After entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h), the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and enforce the Agreement, to hear and resolve any contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.

1	17. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to the		
2	Class Members by posting a copy of the Final Approval Order and Judgment on Phoenix		
3	Settlement Administrator's website for a period of at least sixty (60) calendar days after the date		
4	of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment.		
5	Dated: 12/20/17		
6	HONORABLE WILLIAM F. HIGHBERGER JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	5 TODODOGEDI HINAT ADDONAL ODDED AND HIDOMENT		
	[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT		