1 2	Jonathan Ricasa (SBN 223550) jricasa@ricasalaw.com LAW OFFICE OF JONATHAN RICASA	ELECTRONICALLY FILED	
3	15760 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 700 Encino, California 91436	Superior Court of California, County of San Diego	
4	Telephone: (818) 650-8077 Facsimile: (818) 301-5151	01/12/2018 at 02:27:00 PM Clerk of the Superior Court	
5	Briana M. Kim (SBN 255966)	By Lee McAlister Deputy Clerk	
6	briana@brianakim.com BRIANA KIM, PC		
7	249 East Ocean Boulevard, Suite 814 Long Beach, California 90802		
8	Telephone: (714) 482-6301 Facsimile: (714) 482-6302		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Tatum Dilley and		
10	Simone Penn		
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO—CENTRAL DISTRICT		
12			
13	T-4 D'II ! I'! III I IIICC	C N 27 2015 00000024 CH OF CTI	
14	Tatum Dilley, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.: 37-2015-00009824-CU-OE-CTL	
15	Plaintiff,	(Consolidated with 37-2015-00013479-CU-OE- CTL and 37-2016-00009962-CU-WT-CTL)	
16	v.) [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT	
17	W San Diego Hotel, LLC DBA W San	Hon. Joan M. Lewis	
18	Diego; W Hotel Management, Inc. DBA W San Diego; RP SCS WSD Hotel, L.L.C.	Dept. C-65	
19	DBA W San Diego; Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc. DBA W San Diego; Starwood Hotels & Resorts) Hearing Date: January 12, 2018) Time: 8:30 a.m.) Place: Dept. C-65	
20	Management Company, Inc. DBA W San Diego; Sheraton Operating Corporation) 330 West Broadway San Diego, California 92101	
21	DBA W San Diego; and Doe One through Ten, inclusive,) Complaint Filed: March 23, 2015	
22	Defendants.) Trial Date: None	
23))	
24	Tatum Dilley, individually and on behalf of) Case No.: 37-2015-00013479-CU-OE-CTL	
25	all others similarly situated,) Complaint Filed: April 22, 2015	
26	Plaintiff,		
27	v.))	
28	W San Diego Hotel, LLC DBA W San Diego; W Hotel Management, Inc. DBA W))	
		-1-	

1	San Diego; RP SCS WSD Hotel, L.L.C.) DBA W San Diego; Starwood Hotels &) Resorts Worldwide, Inc. DBA W San)	
2	Diego; Starwood Hotels & Resorts Management Company, Inc. DBA W San)	
3	Diego; Sheraton Operating Corporation) DBA W San Diego; and Doe One through)	
4	Ten, inclusive,	
5	Defendants.)	
6	Tatum Dilley, Ca	se No.: 37-2016-00009962-CU-WT-CTL
7		emplaint Filed: March 24, 2016
8		mipianit Pilea. Marcii 24, 2010
9	V.	
10	W San Diego Hotel, LLC DBA W San Diego; W Hotel Management, Inc. DBA W San Diago; PR SCS WSD Hotel LLC	
11	San Diego; RP SCS WSD Hotel, L.L.C. DBA W San Diego; Starwood Hotels &)	
12	Resorts Worldwide, Inc. DBA W San Diego; Starwood Hotels & Resorts)	
13	Management Company, Inc. DBA W San Diego; Sheraton Operating Corporation)	
14	DBA W San Diego; and Doe One through Ten, inclusive,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17)	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	-2-	
	-2-	

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On August 29, 2017, this Court entered an Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, resulting in certification of the following provisional Settlement Class: non-exempt hourly employees of the W San Diego Hotel who worked in departments providing food and beverage related services between March 23, 2011 and December 14, 2015.

The Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees and Service Awards came before this Court on January 12, 2018, which the Court granted.

Having considered the proposed Settlement Agreement as well as all papers filed, IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Court finds that the Settlement Class is properly certified as a class for settlement purposes only.
- 2. The Notice provided to the Settlement Class conforms with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and any other applicable law, and constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, by providing individual notice to all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort, and by providing due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein to the other Class Members. The notice fully satisfied the requirements of due process.
- 3. The Court finds the settlement was entered into in good faith, that the settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate, and that the settlement satisfies the standards and applicable requirements for final approval of this class action settlement under California law, including the provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769.
 - 4. Zero Class Members have objected to the terms of the Settlement.
 - 5. Zero Class Members have requested exclusion from the Settlement.
- 6. Upon entry of this Judgment, compensation to the participating members of the Settlement Class shall be effected pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 7. In addition to any recovery that Plaintiffs may receive under the Settlement, and in recognition of the Plaintiffs' efforts on behalf of the Settlement Class, the Court hereby approves the

payment of an incentive award to Plaintiff Tatum Dilley in the amount of \$3,000.00 and the payment of an incentive award to Plaintiff Simone Penn in the amount of \$3,000.00.

- 8. The Court approves the payment of attorneys' fees to Class Counsel in the sum of \$50,000.00. The apportionment of attorneys' fees is as follows: \$25,000.00 to Law Office of Jonathan Ricasa and \$25,000.00 to Briana Kim, PC.
- 9. The Court approves the reimbursement of litigation expenses in the sum of \$15,000.00. The apportionment of litigation expenses is as follows: \$13,659.68 to Law Office of Jonathan Ricasa and \$1,340.32 to Briana Kim, PC.
- 10. The Court approves a payment of \$2,250.00 to California's Labor & Workforce Development Agency.
- 11. The Court approves and orders payment in the amount of \$7,000.00 to Phoenix Settlement Administrators for performance of its settlement claims administration services.
- 12. Any unclaimed funds in the Settlement Administrator's account as a result of the failure to timely cash Settlement Share checks shall be distributed to the State of California, California Department of Industrial Relations Unpaid Wage Fund, in the Class Member's name to be held as unclaimed property.
- 13. Notice of this Judgment shall be given to the Class by posting this Judgment on the Settlement Administrator's website.
- 14. Upon the Effective Date, the Plaintiffs and all members of the Settlement Class shall have, by operation of this Judgment, fully, finally and forever released, relinquished, and discharged Defendants from all claims as defined by the terms of the Settlement. Upon the Effective Date, all members of the Settlement Class shall be and are hereby permanently barred and enjoined from the institution or prosecution of any and all of the claims released under the terms of the Settlement.
- 15. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters related to the administration and consummation of the settlement, and any and all claims, asserted in, arising out of, or related to the

1	subject matter of the lawsuit, including but not limited to all matters related to the settlement and the		
2	determination of all controversies relating thereto.		
3	Dated: _	01/12/2018	US. Usa
45			Richard S. Whitney Judge of the Superior Court For the Honorable Joan M Lewis.
6			For the Honorable Joan M Lewis.
7			
8			
9			
0			
1			
12			
13			
4			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 I am attorney for the plaintiffs herein, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within 2 action. My business address is Law Office of Jonathan Ricasa, 15760 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 700, Encino, California 91436. On January 2, 2018, I served the within documents: [PROPOSED] 3 JUDGMENT. 4 I caused such to be delivered by hand to: 5 N/a. 6 I am readily familiar with the Firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage 7 thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business, addressed as follows: 8 Sayaka Karitani Hirschfeld Kraemer LLP 9 233 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 600 Santa Monica, California 90401 10 Kenneth D. Sulzer 11 Constangy, Brooks, Smith & Prophete, LLP 1800 Century Park East, Suite 600 12 Los Angeles, California 90067 13 I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. Executed on January 2, 2018, at Los Angeles, California. 14 15 16 17 Jonathan Ricasa 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

-1-