1	Abigail A. Zelenski (SBN 228610) abigail@jlglawvers.com	
2	David Zelenski (SBN 231768) david@jlglawyers.com	
3	Sehreen Ladak (SBN 307895) sehreen@jlglawyers.com JAURIGUE LAW GROUP	CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Apendia
	114 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 200	and the second sec
5	Glendale, California 91203 Telephone: 818,630.7280	FEB 06 2017
6 7	Facsimile: 888.879.1697 Joseph M. Hekmat (SBN 265229)	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Isabel Arellanes, Deputy
8.	jhekmat@hekmatlaw.com HEKMAT LAW GROUP	
	11111 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, California 90025	
9.	Telephone: 424.888.0848	
10	Facsimile: 424.270.0242	FILED BY FAX
11.	Attorneys for Plaintiff Omar Rodriguez	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	-CENTRAL CIVIL WEST
14		
15	OMAR RODRIGUEZ, individually and on	Case No. BC625121
16	behalf of all others similarly situated,	Assigned to the Hon. John Shepard Wiley, Jr., Department 311
17	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF JOSEPH M. HEKMAT
18		IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR CONDITIONAL CLASS
19	HAWK II ENVIRONMENTAL CORP., a California corporation; and DOES 1–10,	CERTIFICATION AND PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
20	inclusive,	SETTLEMENT
21	Defendants.	[Class Action]
22		A
23		Complaint Filed: June 24, 2016 Trial Date: Not Set
24		
25	k fan de senere ferste skriet ferste skriet fan de senere ferste skriet fe Ferste skriet ferste skriet	
26		
27		
28		

DECLARATION OF JOSEPH M. HEKMAT IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR CONDITIONAL CLASS CERTIFICATION AND PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

I, Joseph M. Hekmat, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in California and New York. My firm, the Hekmat Law Group, along with the Jaurigue Law Group, are counsel for Plaintiff Omar Rodriguez in this case. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Conditional Class Certification and Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called as a witness to testify to them, I could and would do so competently.

2. The capitalized terms used herein are defined in the Settlement Agreement, attached to Declaration of Abigail Zelenski as Exhibit 1 filed concurrently herewith.

3. I have over 7 years of experience working as a lawyer in civil litigation in the State of California, including several years representing defendants at the law firm of Glaser Weil Fink Howard Avchen & Shapiro LLP and as general counsel of Evergreen Financial Holdings, LLC. During the last 4 years, the primary focus of my practice has been on employee representation. I have litigated cases involving discrimination, retaliation and violations of wage laws, and have represented aggrieved employees in PAGA litigation.

4. I am a member of the California Employment Lawyers Association ("CELA"), as well as the Los Angeles County Bar Association ("LACBA") and Beverly Hills Bar Association 's ("BHBA") employment law sections.

 This is a wage and hour class action brought by Plaintiff Omar Rodriguez against Hawk II Environmental Corp. ("Hawk II").

6. On June 24, 2016, Plaintiff filed the complaint in the case of *Omar Rodriguez*, *individually and on, behalf of all others similarly situated, v. Hawk II Environmental Corp, et al* bearing case number BC625121, in Los Angeles County Superior Court (the "Complaint"). The Complaint alleged the following causes of action against Defendant on behalf of Plaintiff and the Class: (1) Failure to Provide Adequate Pay Stubs (Cal. Lab. Code § 226), (2) Failure to Provide Meal Periods, (Cal. Lab. Code §§ 512, 226.7), (3) Failure to Provide Rest Breaks (Cal. Lab. Code § 226.7), (4) Failure to Pay Overtime Compensation (Cal. Lab. Code §§ 510, 1194, 1198, (5) Failure to Reimburse Employee for Uniform (Cal. Lab. Code § 2802), (6) Violation of Unfair Competition Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.), (7) Failure to Pay Wages upon Termination (Cal. Lab. Code §§ 20 I, 202, 203) and (8) Private Attorneys General Act (Cal. Lab. Code § 2698 et seq.).

7. On December 13, 2016, the parties attended a full-day mediation session before experienced class action mediator, Henry J. Bongiovi, Esq. Prior to the mediation, the parties diligently investigated the factual and legal aspects of the matter via an extensive informal exchange of information and production of documents.

8. The parties reached a settlement at the mediation and formalized the terms therein upon the attached short-form settlement agreement (attached as Exhibit A).

9 9. Based on my experience and that of my co-counsel and colleagues, and based on my 10independent investigation and evaluation, I am of the opinion that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and is in the best interest of the Class in light of all known facts and circumstances and the expenses and risk inherent in continued litigation. Should this case continue to be litigated, and should 12 13 the class not become certified, it is unlikely that many Class members would bring individual claims.

14 10. My office, along with co-counsel Jaurigue Law Group, conducted a thorough and 15 extensive investigation of the claims in the Complaint. We reviewed relevant documents and engaged in 16 extensive discussions with Defense Counsel regarding the facts of the case and the legal merits of our 17 claims.

18 11. The Settlement is reasonable in light of the potential maximum value of the Plaintiff's 19 claims as compared to the potential risks of further litigation and Defendant's valid defenses.

2012. Plaintiff Omar Rodriguez has contributed to this action by agreeing to be a class 21 representative, reviewing the complaint, staying in contact with current and past class members, 22 responding to counsel's e-mails and phone calls and providing documents related to his employment and 23 relevant to the class, attending his deposition and attending the mediation which ultimately resolved the 24 matter.

Dated: February 5, 2017

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

25

26

27

28

Assedantle

Joseph Hekmat

DECLARATION OF JOSEPH M. HEKMAT IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR CONDITIONAL CLASS CERTIFICATION AND PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

3

EXHIBIT A

Rodriguez v. Hawk II Environmental Corp., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC625121

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN the above-referenced parties, through their respective counsel or representative of each, that the above-referenced case has been settled according to the terms memorialized herein below. This settlement document is intended by the parties to be binding and enforceable in court – in state court by motion pursuant to Civil Procedure Code section 664.6 and Evidence Code section 1123 and in accordance with applicable rules of civil procedure and evidence.

All-in, non-reversionary settlement at maximum settlement amount of \$250,000, as follows:

- \$7,500 for class representative, subj. ** to court approval. .
- \$20,000 allocated to Private Attorneys General Act civil penalties, subject to court • approval.
- Administrative costs, subject to court approval.
- Plaintiff's costs and expenses, subject to court approval. .
- 33 1/3 percent for Plaintiff's counsel's attorneys' fees, subject to court approval and lodestar support.
- Cy pres of unclaimed settlement funds to LA Mission, subject to court approval.
- Payroll taxes •
- The net settlement fund will be distributed to all members of the class without the need of submitting a claim form. The parties will agree on a fair and reasonable allocation method of the net settlement fund to the class members. Class period is from February 24, 2012, through the date the long-form settlement agreement, as referenced below, is signed by all the parties.

This stipulation shall be memorialized in a long-form settlement agreement, the draft of which Plaintiff's counsel will provide to defense counsel within one week from today. The parties agree to use best efforts to get the long-form settlement agreement signed two weeks from today.

The terms, conditions, and content of this stipulation are the product of counsel and not the mediator, the parties acknowledge that the mediator serves only as scribe, not originator. All relevant parties must sign below. Copies are acceptable in lieu of originals.

12.13.16 Date Plaintiff Oman Rodriguez

zelenstu

12-13-16 H-Environmental Corp. Defendant Hawk

Defendant's Counsel

BEZERRA, SR Print Name: Title: