1 2 3 4 5	AEGIS LAW FIRM, PC Kashif Haque (State Bar No. 218672) Samuel A. Wong (State Bar No. 217104) Jessica L. Campbell (State Bar No. 280626) 9811 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 100 Irvine, California 92618-2902 Telephone: (949) 379-6250 Facsimile: (949) 379-6251 Attorneys for Plaintiff CHRISTIAN PEREZ	FILED SUPERIOR COURT COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT DEC 1 2 2016 BY SUZANNE M. SERRANO, DEPUTY
6 7 8	VORYS, SATER, SEYMOUR & PEASE LI Robert A. Harris (Ohio Bar No. 0059549) (adr 52 East Gay Street Columbus, Ohio 43215	L P nitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
9	Telephone: (614) 464-6400 Facsimile: (614) 464-6350	
10 11	HAIGHT, BROWN & BONESTEEL LLP Yvette Davis (State Bar No. 165777) 2050 Main Street, Suite 600 Irvine, California 92614	
12 13	Telephone: (714) 426-4607 Facsimile: (714) 754-0826	
14	Attorneys for Defendant WEST COAST LIQUIDATORS, INC. dba Big Lots, a California corporation	
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
16	COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO	
17 18	CHRISTIAN PEREZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public,	Case No. CIV DS1417863 CLASS ACTION
19	Plaintiffs,	Assigned for all Purposes to:
20	v.	Hon. John M. Pacheco Department: S31
21	WEST COAST LIQUIDATORS, INC. dba	WROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT GRANTING MOTION FOR
22	Big Lots, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,	FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
24	Defendants.	Action Filed: December 1, 2014
25		Trial Date: None Set
26		BY FAX
27		
28		

The Motion of Plaintiff Christian Perez ("Plaintiff") for final approval of the class action settlement reached with Defendant West Coast Liquidators, Inc. (the "Motion"), which was preliminarily approved by the Court on August 8, 2016, came regularly on for hearing on December 12, 2016.

WHEREAS Plaintiff and Defendant West Coast Liquidators, Inc. ("Defendant") entered into a Stipulation of Settlement and Release (the "Settlement Agreement"), on or about June 29, 2016, to settle this class action lawsuit (the "Class Action");

WHEREAS the Court entered an Order dated August 8, 2016 (the "Preliminary Approval Order"), preliminarily approving the settlement of the Class Action (the "Settlement"), consistent with California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, ordering notice be sent to Class Members, scheduling a Final Hearing for December 12, 2016, and providing Class Members with an opportunity to object to the Settlement or exclude themselves from the Class;

WHEREAS the Court held a Final Hearing on December 12, 2016 to determine whether to give final approval to the Settlement; and

WHEREAS the Court makes the following combined Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in support of approval of the Settlement;

NOW, THEREFORE, based on the submissions of the Parties, upon reviewing all prior proceedings, and on the evidence adduced at the Final Hearing, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

- 1. <u>Incorporation of Other Documents</u>. This Order (the "Final Approval Order") incorporates the Settlement Agreement. Unless otherwise provided herein, all capitalized terms in this Final Approval Order shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, with the exception that "Plaintiff" and "Named Plaintiff" shall both refer to Plaintiff Christian Perez.
- 2. <u>Jurisdiction</u>. Because adequate notice has been disseminated, and all Class Members have been given the opportunity to request exclusion from the Class, the Court has personal jurisdiction with respect to the claims of all Eligible Class Members. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the Class Action, including jurisdiction to approve the Settlement, grant final

certification of the Class, and dismiss the Class Action.

- 3. <u>Final Class Certification</u>. The Court finds that the Class satisfies all applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure § 382, California Rule of Court 3.769, and due process. Accordingly, the Court certifies, for settlement purposes only, a class consisting of all non-exempt hourly employees employed at Defendant's Rancho Cucamonga Distribution Center from March 6, 2012 through June 9, 2016. Excluded from the Class is the one individual who returned signed and valid Opt-Out Requests upon receiving the Class Notice and Claim Form. For purposes of this Order, "Settling Plaintiffs" means all Class Members who have not timely opted out of the Class.
- 4. Adequacy of Representation. As Class Counsel, Kashif Haque, Samuel A. Wong, and Jessica L. Campbell of Aegis Law Firm, PC have fully and adequately represented the Class for purposes of entering into and implementing the Settlement, and have satisfied the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure § 382.
- 5. <u>Class Notice</u>. The Court finds that the Class Notice and its distribution to Class Members have been implemented pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and this Court's Preliminary Approval Order and that they:
- a. constitute the best practicable notice to Class Members under the circumstances of the Class Action;
- b. constitute notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Class Members of: (i) the pendency of the Class Action; (ii) the material terms and provisions of the Settlement and their rights under the Settlement; (iii) their right to object to any aspect of the proposed Settlement (including final certification of the Class, the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the Settlement, the adequacy of the Class's representation by Plaintiff and Class Counsel, and/or the award of the Enhancement Payment, attorneys' fees, and litigation costs); (iv) their right to exclude themselves from the Class and the Settlement; (v) their right to claims for Settlement Awards; (vi) their right to appear at the final fairness hearing, either on their own behalf or through counsel hired at their own expense, if they did not exclude themselves from the Class; and (vii) the binding effect of the orders and judgment in the Class Action, whether favorable or unfavorable, on all Eligible

Class Members;

2 3

1

constitute notice that was reasonable, adequate, and sufficient to all Class c. Members: and

4

d. constitute notice that fully satisfied the requirements of California Code of Civil

5

Procedure § 382, California Rule of Court 3.769, and due process.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

6. Final Settlement Approval. The terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement have been entered into in good faith, and are the product of arms-length negotiations by experienced counsel who have done a meaningful investigation of the claims in the dispute. The Settlement Agreement and all of its terms and provisions are fully and finally approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Parties. The Parties are hereby directed to implement the Settlement Agreement according to its terms and provisions.

- 7. Binding Effect. The terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement, this Final Approval Order, and the accompanying Final Judgment are binding on the Settling Plaintiffs, as well as their heirs, executors and administrators, successors, and assigns, and those terms shall have res judicata and other preclusive effect in all pending and future claims, lawsuits or other proceedings maintained by or on behalf of any such persons, to the extent those claims, lawsuits, or other proceedings involve matters that were or could have been raised in the Class Action and are encompassed by the release of the Settled Claims with respect to Eligible Class Members other than Plaintiff, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. This Settlement will have no binding effect upon. and provide no res judicata preclusion to, those Class Members who have submitted Opt-out Requests.
- 8. Releases. The Settling Plaintiffs shall be bound by the release of the Settled Claims with respect to Eligible Class Members other than Plaintiff, as provided in the Settlement Agreement. regardless of whether such persons received any compensation under the Settlement Agreement. The release of the Settled Claims with respect to Eligible Class Members other than Plaintiff is effective as of the date of this Final Approval Order and the accompanying Final Judgment. The Court expressly adopts all defined terms in the Settlement Agreement and the release of Settled Claims with respect to each Eligible Class Member other than Plaintiff, including without limitation, the following definition

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

of Settled Claims with respect to each Eligible Class Member other than Plaintiff (which is set forth at paragraph 30 of the Settlement Agreement):

any and all claims, demands, rights, liabilities, penalties (including waiting time penalties), restitution, liquidated damages, causes of action, and other legal responsibilities, of any form whatsoever, arising before the date of the Preliminary Approval Order, whether based upon federal, state, local, constitutional, statutory, or common law, or any other law, rule, or regulation, whether known or unknown, unforeseen, unanticipated, unsuspected, or latent, that have been or could have been asserted by Named Plaintiff or a successor or assign of Named Plaintiff, whether directly, indirectly, representatively, derivatively, or in any other capacity, against any of the Released Parties, arising out of or related to the allegations set forth in the First Amended Complaint, including without limitation: (a) violation of California Labor Code §§ 1194, 1194.2, and 1197, as well as applicable IWC Wage Order § 3, based on failure to pay minimum wages; (b) violation of California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194. and 1198, as well as applicable IWC Wage Order § 3, based on failure to pay overtime wages; (c) violation of California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512, and applicable IWC Wage Order § 11, based on failure to provide meal periods; (d) violation of California Labor Code § 226.7 and applicable IWC Wage Order § 12, based on failure to provide rest breaks; (e) violation of California Labor Code § 226, based on failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements; (f) violation of California Labor Code §§ 1174 and 1174.5 and applicable IWC Wage Order § 7, based on failure to maintain records; (g) violation of California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, and 203, based on failure to pay wages upon termination or within required time thereof; (h) all penalties provided in the California Labor Code that are associated with the claims and factual allegations as above in items (a)-(g); (i) all penalties associated with California Labor Code §§ 558. and 2698, et seq. ("PAGA") arising from the claims and factual allegations as above in items (a)-(g), including California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 226, 226.7, 510, 512, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1198; and (j) violation of Business & Professions Code § 17200, et seq. for restitution from the same factual allegations as above in items (a)-(g). The Settled Claims do not include any claims related to any time period any Class Member was: (a) performing services for any of the Released Parties as a person classified by Released Parties as exempt from overtime; and/or (b) performing work outside of California.

9. Permanent Injunction. The Settling Plaintiffs are barred and enjoined from: (a) filing, commencing, prosecuting, maintaining, intervening in, participating in (as class members or otherwise), or receiving any benefits or other relief from, any other claim, lawsuit, arbitration, or administrative, regulatory, or other proceeding or order in any jurisdiction based on the release of Settled Claims with respect to each Eligible Class Member other than Named Plaintiff; and (b) organizing or soliciting the participation of any Class Members into a separate class for purposes of pursuing as a purported class action (including by seeking to amend a pending complaint to include class allegations, or by seeking class certification in a pending action) any claim, lawsuit or other proceeding based on the release of Settled Claims with respect to each Eligible Class Member other

than Named Plaintiff. The Court finds that issuance of this permanent injunction is necessary and appropriate in aid of the Court's jurisdiction over the Class Action and to protect and effectuate the Court's Final Approval Order and Final Judgment.

- 10. <u>Enforcement of Settlement</u>. Nothing in this Final Approval Order or the accompanying Final Judgment shall preclude any action to enforce the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement.
- \$300,000. Class Counsel is hereby awarded reimbursement of actual litigation costs in the amount of \$21,029.02. Such fees and costs are to be paid pursuant to the terms and provisions set forth in the Settlement Agreement. Defendant shall not be required to pay for any other attorneys' fees and expenses, costs, or disbursements incurred by Class Counsel or any other counsel representing the Plaintiff or Class Members, or incurred by the Plaintiff or Class Members, in connection with or related in any manner to the Class Action, the Settlement, the administration of the Settlement, and/or the Settled Claims with respect to each Eligible Class Member other than Plaintiff.
- 12. <u>Enhancement Payment</u>. The Court finds that an Enhancement Payment in the amount of Eight Thousand Five Hundred U.S. Dollars (\$8,500.00), to be paid out of the Gross Settlement Funds by Defendant to Plaintiff on account of his service and assistance to the Class in the Class Action, is reasonable and appropriate. The Enhancement Payment is to be paid pursuant to the terms and provisions set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. <u>Claims Administration Costs</u>. The Court finds that Claims Administration Costs in the amount of \$14,000 to be paid by Defendant to the Claims Administrator is reasonable and appropriate. Settlement Administration Costs are to be paid pursuant to terms and provisions set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. <u>PAGA Payment</u>. The Court finds that the PAGA Payment of Three Thousand U.S. Dollars (\$3,000.00), Two Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$2,250.00) of which is to be paid by Defendant to the California Labor & Workforce Development Agency is reasonable and appropriate and the remaining Seven Hundred Fifty U.S. Dollars (\$750.00) to be a part of the Net Settlement

Funds. The PAGA Payment is to be paid pursuant to the conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

- 15. <u>Modification of Settlement Agreement</u>. The Parties are hereby authorized, upon approval of the Court, to agree to and adopt amendments to or modifications of the Settlement Agreement, by a written instrument signed by counsel for all Parties or their successors-in-interest. Such amendments or modifications shall be consistent with this Final Approval Order and cannot limit the rights of Settling Plaintiffs under the Settlement Agreement.
- 16. Retention of Jurisdiction. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Final Approval Order and the accompanying Final Judgment. This Court expressly retains jurisdiction for the administration, interpretation, effectuation, and/or enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and of this Final Approval Order and the accompanying Final Judgment, and for any other necessary purpose, including, without limitation:
- a. enforcing the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement and resolving any disputes, claims, or causes of action in the Class Action that, in whole or in part, are related to or arise out of the Settlement Agreement, this Final Approval Order or the accompanying Final Judgment;
- b. entering such additional Orders as may be necessary or appropriate to protect or effectuate the Court's Final Approval Order and the Final Judgment approving the Settlement Agreement, and permanently enjoining Settling Plaintiffs from initiating or pursuing related proceedings, or to ensure the fair and orderly administration of this Settlement; and
- c. entering any other necessary or appropriate Orders to protect and effectuate this Court's retention of continuing jurisdiction.
- 17. No Admissions. Neither this Final Approval Order and the accompanying Final Judgment, nor the Settlement Agreement (nor any other document referred to here, nor any action taken to carry out this Final Approval Order and the Final Judgment) is, may be construed as, or may be used as an admission or concession by or against Defendant of the validity of any claim or any actual or potential fault, wrongdoing, or liability. Entering into or carrying out the Settlement

Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related to it, shall not be construed as or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession as to Defendant's denials or defenses. Further, entering into or carrying out the Settlement Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related to it, shall not be offered or received in evidence in any action or proceeding against any party hereto in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever, except as evidence of the Settlement or to enforce the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement, or this Final Approval Order and accompanying Final Judgment. However, the Settlement Agreement, and/or Final Approval Order and the accompanying Final Judgment may be filed by Defendant in any action to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, waiver, good-faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, full faith and credit, or any other theory of claim preclusion, issue preclusion, or similar defense or counterclaim.

DATE: _	UEC 1 2 2016	JOHN M. PACHECO
		JUDGE JOHN M. PACHECO