FILED
TULARE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
VISALIA DIVISION

SEP 16 2019, PHANK CAMERON, CLERK

Jeremy F. Bollinger (SBN 240132) Dennis F. Moss (SBN 77512) Ari E. Moss (SBN 238579)

MOSS BOLLINGER LLP
15300 Ventura Blvd., Ste. 207

Sherman Oaks, California 91403

Telephone: (310) 982-2984
Facsimile: (310) 861-0389
jeremy@mossbollinger.com
dennis@mossbollinger.com

6 ari@mossbollinger.com

1

2

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Attorneys for Plaintiff RAFAEL NUNEZ COVARRUBIAS

Howard A. Sagaser (SBN 72492)
 Ian B. Wieland (SBN 285721)
 Amanda M. Kjar (SBN 298680)

SAGASER, WATKINS & WIELAND, PC

10 | 5260 North Palm Avenue, Suite 400 Fresno, California 93704

11 Telephone: (559) 421-7000 Facsimile: (559) 473-1483

Attorneys for Defendant WESTERN MILLING LLC

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF TULARE

RAFAEL NUNEZ COVARRUBIAS, an individual, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

19 vs.

Western Milling, LLC, a California limited liability corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive.

Defendants.

Case No.: VCU273798

CLASS ACTION

[PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT; AND JUDGMENT

(Filed concurrently with the Notice of Motion and Motion for Final Approval of Class Settlement; Declarations of Jeremy F. Bollinger, Ari E. Moss, Elizabeth Kruckenberg, Rafael Nunez Covarrubias, Mark La Bounty; and Supplemental Declaration of Rafael Nunez Covarrubias)

Date: September 12, 2019

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Judge: Hon. David C. Mathias

Department; 2

27

28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA H FOR THE COUNTY OF TULARE RAFAEL NUNEZ COVARRUBIAS, an Case No.: VCU273798 individual, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, **CLASS ACTION** Plaintiffs, [PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER APPROVING CLASS ACTION VS. SETTLEMENT; AND JUDGMENT Western Milling, LLC, a California limited liability corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive, Defendants.

FINAL ORDER APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT; AND JUDGMENT

28

The above-referenced class action ("Action") having come before the Court on September 12, 2019, for a hearing and Final Order Approving Class Settlement and Judgment ("Final Order"), consistent with the Court's Revised Preliminary Approval Order ("Preliminary Approval Order"), entered April 18, 2019, and as set forth in the Stipulation of Class Settlement and the Exhibits attached thereto (hereafter collectively, the "Settlement Agreement") in the Action, and due and adequate notice having been given to all Class Members as required in the Preliminary Approval Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed and good cause appearing therefore,

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. For purposes of this Final Order, the Court incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement, and all defined terms herein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. Consistent with the definitions in the Settlement Agreement, the term "Settlement Class" and "Class Members" is defined as all current and former hourly-paid, non-exempt employees employed by Defendant Western Milling LLC ("Defendant" or "Western Milling") in California at any time during the period of May 4, 2014 through November 30, 2018, ("Settlement Class Member"). The Settlement Class, however, shall not include any person who opted out by submitting a timely and valid Request for Exclusion as provided in this Settlement. For purposes of the Settlement and this Final Order, "Released Parties" shall mean Defendant, including all of Defendant's past, present and future direct or indirect predecessors, successors, subsidiaries, investors, parent companies, holding companies, sister and affiliated companies, divisions, assigns and other related entities, as well as their successors, predecessors, shareholders, subsidiaries, investors, parent, sister and affiliated companies, officers, directors, partners, members, managers, assigns, agents, employees, principals, heirs, administrators, attorneys, vendors, accountants, auditors, consultants, fiduciaries, insurers, reinsurers, employee benefit plans, and representatives of each of them, both individually and in their official or corporate capacities, past or present, as well as all persons acting by, through, under or in concert with any of these persons or entities.

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and over all Parties to this Action, including all Class Members.
- 4. Distribution of the Notice and the Claim Form directed to the Class Members as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and the other matters set forth therein has been completed in conformity with the Preliminary Approval Order, including individual notice to all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort, and the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Notice provided due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed Settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement, to all persons entitled to such Notice, and the Notice fully satisfied the requirements of due process. All Class Members and all Released Claims are covered by and included within the Settlement and this Final Order.
- 5. The Court hereby finds the Settlement was entered into in good faith pursuant to and within the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure section 877.6. The Court further finds that the Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable and that Plaintiff has satisfied the standards and applicable requirements for final approval of this class action settlement under California law, including the provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, approved for use by the California state courts in *Vasquez v. Superior Court* (1971) 4 Cal.3d 800, 821.
- 6. The Court hereby approves the Settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and finds that the Settlement, including the Gross Settlement Amount (which is Eight Hundred Thousand Dollars [\$800,000.00]) is, in all respects, fair, adequate and reasonable, and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to its terms. The Court finds that the Settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, serious and non-collusive arms-length negotiations. The Court further finds that the Parties have conducted extensive investigation and research, and counsel for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that Settlement at this time will avoid additional substantial costs, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action. The Court has reviewed the benefits that are being granted as part of the Settlement

and recognizes the significant value to the Class Members. The Court also finds that the Class is properly certified as a class for settlement purposes only.

- 7. As of the date of entry of this Final Order, each and every Released Claim (as defined in the Settlement Agreement and set forth below) of each and every Participating Settlement Class Member is and shall be deemed to be conclusively released as against the Released Parties. As of the date of this Final Order, the Class Representative and each and every Class Member who has not submitted a valid request for exclusion ("Verified Settlement Class Members" or "Participating Settlement Class Members") is hereby released and forever barred and enjoined from prosecuting the Released Claims, except as to such rights or claims as may be created by the Settlement, against Defendant and the Released Parties from any and all claims, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, guarantees, liens, promises, penalties, costs, expenses, interest, restitution, attorneys' fees and costs, losses, damages, liquidated damages, punitive damages, equitable relief, complaints, actions or causes of action of whatever kind or nature, whether known or unknown, contingent or accrued, against Defendant or the Released Parties or any of them, under any state, municipal or federal law, statute, ordinance, regulation, order or common law principle or theory, arising out of or related to the First Amended Complaint, including without limitation: (1) wage statement claims (Lab. Code Sect. 226); (2) waiting time penalties (Lab. Code Sect. 203) arising from a termination during the period beginning three years preceding the filing of the complaint; (3) Labor Code Sect. 226.7 claims; (4) PAGA claims arising from the aforementioned Labor Code violations; (5) Bus. & Prof. Code Sect. 17200 et seq.; (6) any other claims alleged in the First Amended Complaint, including damages, penalties, interest and other amounts recoverable under said causes of action under California law, including but not limited to the California Labor Code and California Unfair Competition Law; and (7) interest, attorney's fees, and costs arising from any of the above, arising between May 4, 2014 through November 30, 2018
- 8. Neither the Settlement nor any of the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement is an admission by Defendant, or any of the other Released Parties, nor is this Final Order a finding of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any wrongdoing by Defendant,

or any of the other Released Parties. Neither this Final Order, the Settlement Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement Agreement is, may be construed as, or may be used as, an admission by or against Defendant, or any of the other Released Parties, of any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever. The entering into or carrying out of the Settlement Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related thereto, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession with regard to the denials or defenses by Defendant, or any of the other Released Parties, and shall not be offered in evidence in any action or proceeding in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever other than to enforce the provisions of this Final Order, the Settlement Agreement, the Released Claims, or any related agreement or release. Notwithstanding these restrictions, any of the Released Parties may file in the Action, or submit in any other proceeding, the Final Order, the Settlement Agreement, and any other papers and records on file in the Action as evidence of the Settlement to support a defense of *res judicata*, *collateral estoppel*, release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the Released Claims.

- 9. The Court hereby enters judgment in the entire Action as of the filing date of this Final Order, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement. Without affecting the finality of this Final Order in any way, the Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over the interpretation, implementation and enforcement of the Settlement and all orders entered in connection therewith pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.
- 10. The Court finds the settlement payments provided for under the Settlement to be fair and reasonable in light of all of the circumstances. The Court orders the calculations and the payments to be made and administered in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The Court hereby confirms Jeremy Bollinger, Ari Moss and Dennis Moss of Moss Bollinger LLP as Class Counsel in the Action.
- 12. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, and the authorities, evidence and argument submitted by Class Counsel, the Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees in

the amount of Two Hundred Sixty Four Thousand Dollars (\$264,000), and attorneys' costs in the amount of Eight Thousand Nine Hundred Dollars (\$8,900), from the Gross Settlement Amount as final payment for and complete satisfaction of any and all attorneys' fees and costs incurred by and/or owed to Class Counsel and any other person or entity related to the Action. The Court further orders that the award of attorneys' fees and costs set forth in this Paragraph shall be administered pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, and transferred and/or made payable to Class Counsel in the Action.

- 13. The Court also hereby approves and orders a Service Award payment to Plaintiff and Class Representative Rafael Nunez Covarrubias in the amount of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) from the Gross Settlement Amount.
- 14. The Court also hereby approves and orders payment in the amount of Seven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$7,500.00) from the Gross Settlement Amount for 75% of the PAGA penalties, payable to the California Labor Workforce Development Agency.
- 15. The Court also hereby approves and orders payment from the Gross Settlement Amount for actual claims administration expenses incurred by the Claims Administrator, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, in the amount of Twelve Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$12,500).
- 16. The Court also hereby approves and orders that any residue from uncashed Settlement Award checks after the expiration date will be distributed back to Defendant for the benefit of the employees of Defendant through Western Milling's Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP") and finds that California Code of Civil Procedure section 384(b) does not apply because any un-cashed checks will be distributed for the benefit of class members through the ESOP.
- 17. The Court also hereby finds and orders that the Settlement Agreement is and constitutes a fair, adequate, and reasonable compromise of the Released Claims against Defendant and the Released Parties.
- 18. Provided the Settlement becomes effective under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Court also hereby orders that Defendant will pay the Gross Settlement Amount

to the Claims Administrator within ten (10) calendar days after the Effective Date defined in the Settlement Agreement, and that the Claims Administrator will mail the Court-approved Settlement Awards, Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Service Payment within fifteen (15) calendar days after such payment is received by the Claims Administrator.

19. The Court also hereby finds that there were no objections to the Settlement raised by any person on the record at the hearing on the Final Order.

	7) 18 8	9/12/19	adopted	T BE ENTERED	ACCORDINGLY.
	Per	1 1/2/1	asope z	/2 00/A /// (- July
Dated:	_9,	/16/19		$\bigcap A_{I}$	0.1.1
	/			<u> </u>	Matkin
					. MATHIAS E SUPERIOR COURT
				JODGE OF THE	JOOL DIGON COOK!