1 S. BRETT SUTTON 143107 **JARED HAGUE 251517** 2 SUTTON HAGUE LAW CORPORATION, P.C. KERN COUNTY 5200 N. Palm Avenue, Suite 203 3 Fresno, California 93704 Telephone: (559) 325-0500 4 5 **ZACHARY CROSNER 272295 MICHAEL CROSNER 41299** 6 **ALFREDO NAVA 282698** CROSNER LEGAL, P.C. 7 433 N. Camden Drive, Suite 400 Beverly Hills, California 90210 8 Telephone: (310) 496-5818 9 Facsimile: (310) 510-6429 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff: Joseph Payne, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated 11 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 COUNTY OF KERN 14 JOSEPH PAYNE, an individual, Case No. BCV-16-100356DRL 15 Plaintiff, CLASS ACTION 16 VS. 17 [Honorable Thomas S. Clark - Dept. 17] PROS, INCORPORATED, a California 18 Corporation; JAMES LEAL, an individual; [PROPOSED] ORDER: 1) TERESA LEAL, an individual; and Does 1 PRELIMINARILY APPROVING 19 through 50, inclusive, **SETTLEMENT; 2) APPROVING CLASS NOTICE PACKET; 3)** 20 Defendants. CONDITIONALLY CERTIFYING 21 **SETTLEMENT CLASS; 4)** APPOINTING PLAINTIFF AS CLASS 22 REPRESENTATIVE; 5) APPOINTING PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL AS CLASS 23 COUNSEL; 6) APPOINTING SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR; 24 7) SETTING FINAL FAIRNESS 25 **HEARING** 26 27 28

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT, CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATION OF CLASS, AND SETTING OF FINAL APPROVAL HEARING

On May 22, 2018 a hearing was held on the motion of Plaintiff Joseph Payne and for preliminary approval of the parties' proposed settlement; approval of the notice to be sent to the class about the settlement and the forms of class member settlement information sheet and election not to participate in the settlement; conditional certification of a settlement class in this action; appointing Class Counsel, Class Representative, and Settlement Administrator; and the setting of a date for the hearing on final approval of the settlement. Jared Hague of Sutton Hague Law Corporation appeared for Plaintiff and Jerry Pearson of Law Offices of Young Wooldridge appeared for Defendants.

The Court having read and considered the papers on the motion, arguments of counsel, and the law; and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. The proposed class satisfies the requirements of a settlement class for settlement purposes only because the class members are readily ascertainable and a well-defined community of interest exists in the questions of law and fact affecting the parties.
- 2. The parties' Joint Stipulation of Class Settlement and Release (the "Settlement") is granted preliminary approval as it meets the criteria for preliminary settlement approval. The Court finds that the Settlement appears to be within the range of reasonableness necessary for preliminary approval by the Court. The Settlement falls within the range of possible approval as fair, adequate, and reasonable, and appears to be the product of arms-length and informed negotiations and to treat all Class Members fairly.
- 3. The parties' proposed notice plan is constitutionally sound because individual notices will be mailed to all Class Members whose identities are known to the parties, and such notice is the best notice practicable. The parties' proposed Class Notice Packet, comprised of a Notice of Proposed Settlement and Hearing Date for Final Court Approval, Class Member Information Sheet and Exclusion from Class Settlement Form (Settlement, Ex. 1), is sufficient to inform Class Members of the terms of the Settlement, their rights under the Settlement, their rights to object to or comment on the settlement, their right to receive a

- Settlement Share or elect not to participate in the Settlement, and the processes for doing so, and the date and location of the final approval hearing and are therefore approved.
- 4. Class Members will receive a Settlement Share unless they timely opt out of the Settlement according to the procedures outlined in the Class Notice Packet.
- 5. Any Class Member who elects not to participate in the Settlement has until 30 days after the mailing of the Class Notice to submit his or her Election Not to Participate in Settlement pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Class Notice.
- 6. Any Class Member who wishes to object to the Settlement has until 30 days after the mailing of the Class Notice to mail to the Clerk of Court his or her written objection (and, if he or she wishes to appear at the final approval hearing, to indicate in his or her written objection an intention to appear), pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Class Notice. Late objections will be accepted only if the objector shows good cause or good reason for the delay.
- 7. Phoenix Settlement Administrators is appointed to act as the Settlement Administrator, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement.
- 8. Plaintiff Joseph Payne is appointed the Class Representative. S. Brett Hutton and Jared Hague of Sutton Hague Law Corporation, P.C. and Michael Crosner and Zachary Crosner of Crosner Legal, P.C. are appointed Class Counsel.
- 9. The Class Notice will be disseminated according to the notice plan described in the Settlement Agreement and substantially in the form submitted by the parties. Proof of distribution of the Class Notice will be filed by the parties in conjunction with the motion for an order granting final approval of the Settlement.
- 10. Defendants are directed to provide to the Settlement Administrator not later than 7 days after the date of this order the Class Members' data as specified by the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The Settlement Administrator is directed to mail the approved Class Notice, Class Member Settlement Information Sheet, and Election Not to Participate in Settlement (the "Notice

Packet") by first-class mail to the C	class Members not later	than 14 days	after rec	eipt of the
Class Members' data.				

- 12. A final approval hearing will be held on August 29, 2018 at 8:30 a.m., to determine whether the Settlement should be granted for final approval as fair, reasonable, and adequate as to the Class Members. The Court will hear all evidence and argument necessary to evaluate the Settlement, and will consider plaintiff and Class Counsel's request, made by separate motion, for the Class Representative Payment and the Class Counsel Fees and Expenses Payment. Class Members and their counsel may support or oppose the Settlement and the motion for awards of the Class Representative Payment and the Class Counsel Fees and Expenses Payment, if they so desire, as set forth in the Class Notice.
- 13. Any Class Member may appear at the final approval hearing in person or by his or her own attorney, and show cause why the Court should not approve the Settlement, or object to the motion for awards of the Class Representative Payment and the Class Counsel Fees and Expenses Payment, as set forth in the Class Notice.
- 14. The Court reserves the right to continue the date of the final approval hearing without further notice to Class Members. The Court retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or in connection with the Settlement.

	£0		\mathcal{A}	$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$	
DATED:	5第-22	, 2018	$By: \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$	Vale	
		Hon. Thomas S. Clark			